

Sinem Çalışkan,Esra Akın,Mehmet Uyar

Received/Geliş Tarihi : 14.12.2021 Accepted/Kabul Tarihi : 15.05.2023

Sinem Çalışkan

Izmir Katip Çelebi University Institute of Health Sciences, Department of Fundamentals of Nursing, Izmir, Turkey

Esra Akın

Izmir Katip Çelebi University Faculty of Health Sciences, Department of Fundamentals of Nursing, Izmir, Turkey

Mehmet Uyar

Ege University Faculty of Medicine, Department of Anesthesiology and Reanimation, İzmir, Turkey

Sinem Çalışkan PhD Student, (🖾), İzmir Katip Çelebi University Institute of Health Sciences, Department of Fundamentals of Nursing, İzmir, Turkey

E-mail : caliskansnm@gmail.com Phone : +90 542 277 12 58 ORCID ID : orcid.org/0000-0002-3912-6503

Effect of Music Therapy and Sound Isolation on the Comfort of Mechanically Ventilated Patients

Mekanik Ventilasyon Desteğinde Olan Hastalarda Müzik Terapi ve Ses İzolasyonunun Konfora Etkisi

ABSTRACT *Objective:* This study was conducted experimentally to examine the effect of music therapy and sound isolation on the comfort of mechanically ventilated patients.

Materials and Methods: The study was conducted in the anesthesiology and reanimation intensive care unit of a university hospital between November 2019 and January 2020. Three different interventions were applied to n=24 patients who constituted the research sample. Each patient listened to Western Classical Music and Nihavend magam Turkish Classical Music separately for 60 minutes (min) and received an intervention of sound isolation for 60 min through a special headset. *Results:* The mean age of the patients participating in the study was 64.88±14.05. Of the patients, 66.7% were male, 79.2% were married, and 45.8% were illiterate. Patients' systolic and diastolic blood pressures, pulse rate, respiratory rate, Critical-Care Pain Observation Tool score, and scores on agitation and anxiety sub-dimensions of the American Association of Critical Care Nurses Sedation Assessment scale were influenced by Western Classical Music, Nihavend magam Turkish Classical Music, and sound isolation. No difference was found among the three different implementation interventions.

Conclusion: Music therapy and sound isolation interventions administered to mechanically ventilated patients positively affected the hemodynamic parameters of the patients and reduced the severity of pain perceived by the patients and the need for sedation. In this context, the most important finding of the study was that an intervention that eliminates noise in the intensive care environment for mechanically ventilated patients increases the comfort level of the patients. Another important and critical result of this research was that music therapy also acts as an intervention without noise stimuli.

Keywords: Mechanical ventilation, music therapy, sound isolation, patient comfort

ÖZ *Amaç:* Mekanik ventilasyon desteği uygulanan hastalarda müzik terapi ve ses izolasyonunun konfora olan etkisini incelemek amacıyla deneysel olarak yapılmıştır.

Gereç ve Yöntem: Araştırma, Kasım 2019-Ocak 2020 tarihleri arasında bir üniversite hastanesinin anesteziyoloji ve reanimasyon yoğun bakım ünitesinde yürütülmüştür. Araştırmanın örneklemini oluşturan n=24 hastaya üç farklı girişim uygulanmıştır. Her bir hastaya Klasik Batı Müziği ve Nihavent makamında olan Klasik Türk Müziği hastalara ayrı ayrı 60 dakika (dk) boyunca dinlettirilmiş ve özel bir kulaklık aracılığıyla 60 dk boyunca hastalara ses izolasyonu uygulanmıştır.

Bulgular: Araştırmaya katılan hastaların yaş ortalaması 64,88±14,05, %66,7'sinin erkek, %79,2'sinin evli, %45,8'inin okur-yazar olmadığı saptanmıştır. Hastaların sistolik, diyastolik kan basıncı, nabız hızı, solunum hızı, Yoğun Bakım Ağrı Gözlem Aracı puanları ve Amerikan Yoğun Bakım Hemşireleri Birliği Sedasyon Değerlendirme ölçeği ajitasyon ve anksiyete alt boyutu puanları Klasik Batı Müziği, nihavent makamında olan Klasik Türk Müziği ve ses izolasyonu doğrultusunda etkilemiştir. Araştırmada uygulanan Klasik Batı müziği, nihavent makamında Klasik Türk müziği ve ses izolasyonu uygulamaları arasında fark saptanmamıştır.

Sonuç: Mekanik ventilasyon desteğinde olan hastalara uygulanan müzik terapi ve ses izolasyonunun hastaların hemodinamik parametrelerini olumlu yönde etkilediği, hastaların algıladığı ağrı şiddetini ve sedasyon ihtiyacını azalttığı saptanmıştır. Bu bağlamda; çalışmanın en önemli bulgusu mekanik ventilasyon desteğinde olan hastalarda yoğun bakım ortamına ilişkin seslerin arındırıldığı bir girişimin hastaların konfor düzeyini artırdığıdır. Dolayısıyla müzik terapinin de sese bağlı uyaranlardan arındırılmış bir girişim olarak belki de etki ettiği de diğer araştırmanın önemli ve kritik bir sonucudur. **Anahtar Kelimeler:** Mekanik ventilasyon, müzik terapi, ses izolasyonu, hasta konforu

Copyright[®] 2024 The Author. Published by Galenos Publishing House on behalf of Turkish Society of Intensive Care. This is an open access article under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 (CC BY-NC-ND) International License.

Introduction

Comprehensive medical treatments and nursing care are provided by supporting life-threatened individuals, complex medical devices are included, and the care-treatment process of patients is carried out with a team approach in intensive care units (1). Mechanical ventilation is an intervention to support patients in intensive care units to maintain breathing, ensure lung expansion, and facilitate anesthesia and sedation (2-4). However, in mechanically ventilated patients, many physiological and psychological problems that disrupt comfort, such as insomnia, agitation, pain, sensory overload, physical inactivity, noise, loneliness, weakness, and sensory deprivation emerge (5,6). Previous studies showed that pain, insomnia, endotracheal intubation and mechanical ventilation interventions, medication applications, medical device alarms, noises during care activities, phone sounds, and noise due to alarms reduce the comfort level and pain tolerance of the patients and increase anxiety (7-14).

Today, besides modern medicine, the use of complementary and integrative care therapies has increased considerably all over the world (15-19). The National Center for Complementary and Integrative Health has defined complementary and integrative treatment methods in four large groups. The most important and most frequently used application of mind-body medicine is music (20). The World Federation of Music Therapy defines music therapy as the design and use of music and/or musical elements by a trained music therapist to improve and increase a person's quality of life or maintain it at a good level (21). Music therapy, one of the complementary and integrative treatment methods used for years, is used in intensive care, surgery, psychiatry, obstetrics, pediatrics, oncology, and radiotherapy/ chemotherapy process (22-28). It is also frequently used for the treatment of pain, anxiety, and insomnia which are among the symptoms that occur in other interventional diagnosistreatment processes such as mechanical ventilation (29-33). Moreover, studies revealed that it has positive effects such as activating the immune system, increasing comfort, and decreasing the length of hospital stay (34,35).

All relevant studies revealed that the noise that negatively affects the comfort level of mechanically ventilated patients should be eliminated. Since music therapy, which is one of the complementary and integrative treatment methods, can be used in the management of many symptoms such as anxiety, pain, and sedation, it can be used as an active multiperspective nursing intervention by nurses. There are many international and national research results on the effect of different types of music on hemodynamic values, anxiety, pain, agitation stress, and comfort levels of mechanically ventilated patients and these results showed the positive effects of music. However, there is only one international study on sound isolation (2). This study will elucidate whether the music therapy administered to mechanically ventilated patients is effective as a result of the elimination of the noise arising from various sounds in the intensive care environment or due to its mechanism of action by applying the intervention of effective sound isolation. This research was carried out experimentally to examine the effect of music therapy and sound isolation on the comfort of mechanically ventilated patients.

Materials and Methods

An experimental research design with repeated measures was used. The study was conducted in the intensive care unit of a university hospital in İzmir. The sample size of the research was n=24 patients. Those who met the inclusion criteria between November 2019 and January 2020 constituted the sample. The inclusion criteria were as follows: being in the adult age group (18-85), no history of psychiatric or neurological disease diagnosis, having hemodynamic stability, no known hearing problems, having the same mechanical ventilator mode (spontaneous breathing is preserved during mechanical ventilation), having a Glasgow coma scale score of 9 and above, hospitalization at the anesthesiology and reanimation unit, having pain according to the "Critical-Care Pain Observation Tool (CPOT)", and being in need of sedation treatment according to the "American Association of Critical Care Nurses Sedation Assessment scale (AACNSAS)". The data were collected using the Patient Information Form consisting of 8 questions on descriptive and clinical characteristics, the Glasgow coma scale, the patient follow-up form in which patients' hemodynamic parameters at the 0th, 30th, and 60th minutes after the application and CPOT and AACNSAS scores were recorded, the CPOT, and AACNSAS. In the study, the patients were selected in accordance with the sampling criteria and each patient was included in the experimental and control groups. Each intervention group includes 24 patients. Then, written consent was obtained from the patients' relatives. Pain and anxiety experienced by the patients were evaluated and the relevant scale scores were determined. Research interventions were administered to the patients who met the criteria of the study for one day. Through an MP4 player and earphones, each patient listened to the music of which the characteristics were determined by a music and rhythm specialist: Western Classical Music composed by Johann Sebastian Bach who is one of the pioneers of Baroque music, and Nihavend magam Turkish Classical Music at 17-18 sound level. Sound isolation was applied through a special headphone. The order of the interventions to be applied to the patients was determined by randomization and each intervention was administered for 60 minutes in the determined order. The Patient Information Form was filled before the interventions. The patient follow-up form, CPOT, and AACNSAS were completed before starting each intervention (0th minute). Then, the patients received music and sound isolation interventions in the determined order. Hemodynamic values and scale scores of the patients were recorded at the 0th minute before the music therapy and sound isolation interventions, at the 30th minute of the intervention, and at the 60th minute after the interventions were completed.

Statistical Analysis

The research data were analyzed using the SPSS (Statistical Package for Social Sciences for Windows) 25.0 program. Descriptive statistical methods (number, percentage, mean, standard deviation) were used for the evaluation of the data.

For the analysis, the mean systolic and diastolic blood pressure, pulse rate, respiratory rate, oxygen saturation, expiratory minute volume values, and CPOT and AACNSAS scores obtained as a result of music therapy and sound isolation interventions were calculated. Parametric tests were used in the statistical evaluations of the data. Analysis of variance was used in repeated measures for comparing more than two dependent groups and One-Way analysis of variance was used for comparing more than two independent groups. The Bonferroni pairwise comparison test was used to find the group creating the difference and was performed between 0^{th} and 30^{th} minutes, 0^{th} and 60^{th} minutes, and 30^{th} and 60th minutes. Correlation analysis was used to test the correlation between continuous variables. The statistical significance was taken p<0.05 in the confidence interval of 95%.

As a result of the analysis, α =0.05, the effect size was found to be 1,226 after the study, and after the study applied to 24 people, it was concluded that the power of the study was 0.999 with the post-hoc study.

Ethical and Research Approvals

The ethics approval of the study was approved by the Clinical Research Ethics Committee of Manisa Celal Bayar University Faculty of Medicine (decision no: 49, date: 07.10.2019). The research was carried out after written permission was obtained from the clinic where the study was conducted. After the first interview held with the researchers, the patients' relatives were informed and their verbal and written consent was taken.

Results

Sample Characteristics

The mean age of the 24 patients participating in the study was 64.88±14.05. Of the patients, 66.7% were male, 79.2% were married and 45.8% were illiterate. The disease history of the patients participating in the study was examined. Accordingly, the most common disease was chronic obstructive pulmonary disease by 12.5%. The mean duration of mechanical ventilation support was 18.79±20.64 days; the mean length of stay in the intensive care unit was 18.87±20.57 days; the mean Glasgow coma scale score was 10.20±1.38 (Table 1).

Effect of Music Therapy and Sound Isolation

As a result of the comparison of systolic blood pressure of the 24 patients participating in the study according to the intervention types and time, there was a statistically significant difference in the systolic blood pressure in terms of all three intervention types ($F_{Western \ Classical \ Music} = 19.709$ p=0.000*, $F_{Turkish \ Classical \ Music} = 34.945 \ p=0.000^*$, $F_{Sound \ Isolation} = 49.979 \ p=0.000^*$) (p<0.05) but no statistically significant difference was found between the intervention types ($F_{oth} = 0.297 \ p=0.744$, $F_{30th \ min} = 0.138 \ p=0.871$, $F_{60th \ min} = 0.502 \ p=0.607$) (p>0.05) (Table 2).

As a result of the comparison of diastolic blood pressure of the patients participating in the study according to the intervention types and time, there was a statistically significant difference in the diastolic blood pressure in terms of all three intervention types ($F_{Western \ Classical \ Music} = 11.289$ $p=0.000^*$, $F_{Turkish \ Classical \ Music} = 13.194$ $p=0.000^*$, $F_{Sound \ Isolation} = 19.448$ $p=0.000^*$) (p<0.05) but no statistically significant difference was found between the intervention types (F_{oth} $_{min} = 0.091$ p=0.914, $F_{30th \ min} = 0.043$ p=0.958, $F_{60th \ min} = 0.914$ p=0.859) (p>0.05) (Table 2).

clinical characteristics			
		n=24	%
Age	69 years and below	13	54.2
(x ± SD, 64.88±14.05)	70 years and above	11	45.8
Gender	Female	8	33.3
Gender	Male	16	66.7
	Illiterate	11	45.8
	Primary school	8	33.3
Educational status	Secondary school and above	5	20.9
Marital status	Married	19	79.2
	Single/widowed	5	20.8
	1-10 days	9	37.5
Duration of mechanical ventilation support	11-20 days	7	29.2
(x ± SD, 18.79±20.64)	21 days and above	8	33.3
	1-10 days	9	37.5
Length of stay in the intensive	11-20 days	7	29.2
care unit (x ± SD, 18.87±20.57)	21 days and above	8	33.3
Glasgow coma scale score ($\bar{x} \pm S$	D, 10.20±1.38)		
Total		24	100.0
SD: Standard deviation			

Table 1. Distribution of patients according to descriptive and clinical characteristics

As a result of the comparison of pulse rate of the patients participating in the study according to the intervention types and time, there was a statistically significant difference in pulse rate in terms of all three intervention types ($F_{Western Classical Music} = 19.785 \ p = 0.000^*$, $F_{Turkish Classical Music} = 31.722 \ p = 0.000^*$, $F_{Sound Isolation} = 41.979 \ p = 0.000^*$) (p<0.05) but no statistically significant difference was found between the intervention types ($F_{Oth min} = 0.005 \ p = 0.995$, $F_{30th min} = 0.016 \ p = 0.984$, $F_{60th min} = 0.044 \ p = 0.957$) (p>0.05) (Table 2).

As a result of the comparison of respiratory rate of the patients participating in the study according to the intervention types and time, there was a statistically significant difference in respiratory rate in terms of all three intervention types ($F_{Western\ Classical\ Music}$ =24.863 p=0.000*, $F_{Turkish\ Classical\ Music}$ =14.134 p=0.000*, $F_{Sound\ Isolation}$ =14.511 p=0.000*) (p<0.05) but no statistically significant difference was found between the intervention types ($F_{oth\ min}$ =0.317 p=0.729, $F_{30th\ min}$ =0.508 p=0.604, $F_{60th\ min}$ =0.203 p=0.817) (p>0.05) (Table 2).

Çalışkan et al. Effect of Music Therapy and Sound Isolation

As a result of the comparison of oxygen saturation values of the patients participating in the study according to the intervention types and time, there was no statistically significant difference between the Western Classical Music and sound isolation interventions in terms of oxygen saturation values by time ($F_{Western \ Classical \ Music} = 1.302$ p=0.282*, $F_{Sound \ Isolation} = 0.418 \ p=0.661*$) (p<0.05). A statistically significant difference was found in respiratory rates depending on time in the Turkish Classical Music intervention ($F_{Western \ Classical \ Music} = 3.671 \ p=0.033*$) (p<0.05) but there was no statistically significant difference between the intervention types ($F_{Oth \ min} = 0.044 \ p=0.957$, $F_{30th \ min} = 0.049 \ p=0.952$, $F_{60th \ min} = 0.256 \ p=0.775$) (p>0.05) (Table 2).

As a result of the comparison of expiratory minute volume of the patients participating in the study according to the intervention types and time, there was no statistically significant difference expiratory minute volume by time in the Turkish Classical Music intervention ($F_{Turkish Classical Music} = 3.642$ p=0.056) (p>0.05). A statistically significant difference was found in expiratory minute volume depending on time in the Western Classical Music and sound isolation interventions ($F_{Western Classical Music} = 7.903 \text{ p} = 0.006^*$, $F_{Sound Isolation} = 4.549 \text{ p} = 0.026^*$) (p<0.05) but there was no statistically significant difference between the intervention types ($F_{0th min} = 0.129 \text{ p} = 0.880$, $F_{30th min} = 0.055 \text{ p} = 0.947$, $F_{60th min} = 0.053 \text{ p} = 0.949$) (p>0.05) (Table 2).

As a result of the comparison of CPOT scores of the patients participating in the study according to the intervention types and time, there was a statistically significant difference in CPOT scores in terms of all three intervention types ($F_{Western \ Classical \ Music} = 9.471 \ p = 0.000^*, \ F_{Turkish}$ $C_{Classical \ Music} = 19.993 \ p = 0.000^*, \ F_{Sound \ Isolation} = 11.635 \ p = 0.000^*$) (p < 0.05) but no statistically significant difference was found between the intervention types ($F_{0th \ min} = 0.090 \ p = 0.914$, $F_{30th \ min} = 0.464 \ p = 0.631$, $F_{60th \ min} = 0.357 \ p = 0.701$) (p > 0.05) (Table 3).

As a result of the comparison of AACNSAS consciousness subdimension scores of the patients participating in the study according to the intervention types and time, there was no statistically significant difference in all three intervention types ($F_{Western \ Classical \ Music} = 1.000 \ p = 0.376^*$, $F_{Turkish \ Classical \ Music} = 1.000 \ p = 0.376^*$) (p > 0.05) and also no statistically significant difference was found between the intervention types ($F_{0th \ min} = 0.015 \ p = 0.985$, $F_{30th \ min} = 0.000 \ p = 1.000$, $F_{60th \ min} = 0.000 \ p = 1.000$) (p > 0.05) (Table 4).

Systolic blood pressure Diastolic blood pressure Source Diastolic blood pressure Source F P Source F P Source F P Weste Music Turkis Music F P Source F P Source F P Source F P Weste Music Turkis Source F P Weste Music F P Source F P Source F P Source F P Source F P Source F P Source F P Source F P Source F P Source F P Source F P Source F P Source F P Source F P Source F P Source F P Source F P Source F P Source F P Source F Source F P Source F Source F Source F P Source F Source F P Source F P Source F P Source F P Source F P Source F P Source F P Source F P Source F P Source F P Source F P Source F P Source F P Source F P P	sh Classical c d isolation cern Classical c sh Classical c d isolation cern Classical c sh Classical	Baselin (1) x̄ 129.58 126.37 129.83 0.297 0.744 66.37 64.87 65.75 0.091 0.914 94.20	 SD 16.34 17.36 18.24 12.88 12.11 11.76 	30 th min during tl interven x 122.20 121.87 124.12 0.138 0.871 64.45 63.66 64.62	he	60 th min after th interver x 116.50 115.25 119.54 0.502 0.607 61.58 61.87		F 19.796 34.945 49.979 11.289	P 0.000* 0.000* 0.000* 0.000* 0.000* 0.000*	Effect size 0.463 0.603 0.685 0.329	Bonferron 1>2, 1>3, 2>3 1>2, 1>3, 2>3 1>2, 1>3, 2>3 1>2, 1>3, 2>3 1>2, 1>3, 2>3 1>2, 1>3, 2>3
Systolic blood pressure Source Diastolic blood pressure Source Diastolic blood pressure Source F P Source F P P Source F P Source F P Source F P Source F P Source F P Source F P Source F P Source F P Source F P Source F P Source F P Source F P Source F Source F Source F Source F P Source F P Source F P Source F P Source F P Source F P Source F P Source F P Source F P Source F P Source F P Source F P Source F P Source F Source F Source F Source F Source F Source F P Source F Source Source F F F F SourCe F SourCe F F SourCe F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F	c sh Classical c disolation disolation c disolation c disolation disolation c disolation c disolation disolation c disolat	x 129.58 126.37 129.83 0.297 0.744 66.37 64.87 65.75 0.091 0.914	16.34 17.36 18.24 12.88 12.11	interver x 122.20 121.87 124.12 0.138 0.871 64.45 63.66	tion (2) SD 16.05 15.66 16.28	interver x 116.50 115.25 119.54 0.502 0.607 61.58	SD 15.59 14.35 15.78	19.796 34.945 49.979	0.000*	size 0.463 0.603 0.685	1>2, 1>3, 2>3 1>2, 1>3, 2>3 1>2, 1>3, 2>3
Systolic blood pressure Source Diastolic blood pressure Source Diastolic blood pressure Source F P Source F P P Source F P Source F P Source F P Source F P Source F P Source F P Source F P Source F P Source F P Source F P Source F P Source F P Source F Source F Source F Source F P Source F P Source F P Source F P Source F P Source F P Source F P Source F P Source F P Source F P Source F P Source F P Source F P Source F Source F Source F Source F Source F Source F P Source F Source Source F F F F SourCe F SourCe F F SourCe F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F	c sh Classical c disolation disolation c disolation c disolation disolation c disolation c disolation disolation c disolat	129.58 126.37 129.83 0.297 0.744 66.37 64.87 65.75 0.091 0.914	16.34 17.36 18.24 12.88 12.11	x 122.20 121.87 124.12 0.138 0.871 64.45 63.66	SD 16.05 15.66 16.28 12.67	x 116.50 115.25 119.54 0.502 0.607 61.58	SD 15.59 14.35 15.78	34.945 49.979	0.000*	0.463 0.603 0.685	2>3 1>2, 1>3, 2>3 1>2, 1>3, 2>3
Systolic blood pressure Diastolic blood pressure Source Diastolic blood pressure Source F Source F P Source F P Source F P Source F P Source F P Source F Source F Source F Source F P Weste Music Source F P Weste Music Turkis Music Source F P Source F P Source F P Source F P V Source F P V Source F P V Source F P V Source F P V Source F P V Source F P V Source F P V Source F P V Source F P V Source F P V Source F P V Source F Source F P V Source F Source F P V Source F P V Source F P V Source F P V Source F P V Source F P V Source F P V Source F P V Source F P V Source F P V Source F P V Source F P V Source F P V Source F P V Source F P V Source F P V Source F P V Source F P V Source F P V Source F V Source F P V Source F V Source F V Source F V Source F V Source F V Source F V Source F V Source F V Source F V Source F V Source F V Source F V Source F V Source F V Source F V Source F Source Source F Source Source F Source Source Source F Source Source F Source F Source F Source Source F Source F Source F Source Source Source F S F Source F S F S F S F S F S F S F S F S F S F	c sh Classical c disolation disolation c disolation c disolation disolation c disolation c disolation disolation c disolat	129.58 126.37 129.83 0.297 0.744 66.37 64.87 65.75 0.091 0.914	16.34 17.36 18.24 12.88 12.11	122.20 121.87 124.12 0.138 0.871 64.45 63.66	16.05 15.66 16.28 12.67	116.50 115.25 119.54 0.502 0.607 61.58	15.59 14.35 15.78	34.945 49.979	0.000*	0.603	2>3 1>2, 1>3, 2>3 1>2, 1>3, 2>3
Systolic blood pressure Source Diastolic blood pressure Source Diastolic blood pressure Source F P Source F P P Source F P Source F P Source F P Source F P Source F P Source F P Source F P Source F P Source F P Source F P Source F P Source F P Source F Source F Source F Source F P Source F P Source F P Source F P Source F P Source F P Source F P Source F P Source F P Source F P Source F P Source F P Source F P Source F Source F Source F Source F Source F Source F P Source F Source Source F F F F SourCe F SourCe F F SourCe F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F	c sh Classical c disolation disolation c disolation c disolation disolation c disolation c disolation disolation c disolat	126.37 129.83 0.297 0.744 66.37 64.87 65.75 0.091 0.914	17.36 18.24 12.88 12.11	121.87 124.12 0.138 0.871 64.45 63.66	15.66 16.28 12.67	115.25 119.54 0.502 0.607 61.58	14.35	34.945 49.979	0.000*	0.603	2>3 1>2, 1>3, 2>3 1>2, 1>3, 2>3
Systolic blood pressure Sound F P Weste Music Turkis Music Pulse rate Sound F P P Sound F P Sound F P Sound F P Sound F Sound S Sound F Sound F Sound F Sound F Sound F Sound F Sound F Sound F Sound F Sound F Sound F SounD F SounD F SounD F SounD F SounD F SounD F SounD F SounD F SounD F SounD F SounD F SounD F SounD F SounD F SounD F SounD F SounD F S SounD F SounD F S SounD F S S S SounD F S So	c d isolation cern Classical c sh Classical c d isolation ern Classical c sh Classical	129.83 0.297 0.744 66.37 64.87 65.75 0.091 0.914	18.24 12.88 12.11	124.12 0.138 0.871 64.45 63.66	16.28	119.54 0.502 0.607 61.58	15.78	49.979	0.000*	0.685	2>3 1>2,1>3, 2>3
Pulse rate Pulse rate Respiratory rate Respiratory rate Respiratory rate Sound F Sound F P Sound F S Sound F S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	ern Classical c sh Classical c d isolation d isolation ern Classical c sh Classical	0.297 0.744 66.37 64.87 65.75 0.091 0.914	12.88	0.138 0.871 64.45 63.66	12.67	0.502 0.607 61.58	 	-			2>3
Pulse rate Pulse rate Respiratory rate Pulse	c sh Classical d isolation d isolation c sh Classical	0.744 66.37 64.87 65.75 0.091 0.914	12.11	0.871 64.45 63.66		0.607 61.58	11.66	11.289	0.001*	0.329	1.7 1.7
Pulse rate Respiratory rate Respiratory rate Pulse rate Respiratory rate R	c sh Classical d isolation d isolation c sh Classical	66.37 64.87 65.75 0.091 0.914	12.11	64.45 63.66		61.58	11.66	11.289	0.001*	0.329	1.2 1.2
Pulse rate Respiratory rate Pulse	c sh Classical d isolation d isolation c sh Classical	64.87 65.75 0.091 0.914	12.11	63.66			11.66	11.289	0.001*	0.329	1.2 1.2
Diastolic blood pressure Sound F P P Pulse rate Sound F Pulse rate Sound F P P Sound F P Sound F P Sound F P Sound F P Sound F P Sound F P Sound F P Sound F P Sound F P Sound F P Sound F P Sound F P Sound F P Sound F P Sound F P Sound Turkis Music F P P Sound F P Sound Turkis Music F P P Sound F P Sound F P Sound F P Sound F P Sound F P Sound F P P Sound F P Sound F P P Sound F P P Sound F P P Sound F P P Sound F P P Sound F P P Sound F P P Sound F P P Sound F P P Sound F P P Sound F P P Sound F P P Sound F P P Sound F P P Sound F P P Sound F P P Sound F P P Sound F P P Sound F P Sound F Sound F P Sound F Sound Sound F Sound F Sound F Sound F Sound F Sound F Sound F Sound F Sound F Sound F Sound F Sound F Sound F Sound F Sound F Sound F Sound F Sound Sound Sound Sound F Sound F Sound F Sound F Sound F Sound F Sound F Sound Sound F Sound F Sound F Sound Sound F Sound F Sound F Sound Sound F Sound F Sound F Sound Sound F Sound F Sound	c d isolation cern Classical c sh Classical	65.75 0.091 0.914			12.15	61.87					1>2, 1>3, 2>3
Pressure Sound F P Weste Music Turkis Music Sound F P Weste Music F P Weste F P Music F P	ern Classical c sh Classical	0.091	11.76	64.62			11.84	13.194	0.000*	0.365	1>3, 2>3
Pulse rate Pulse rate	c sh Classical	0.914			11.38	63.29	10.83	19.448	0.000*	0.458	1>2, 1>3, 2>3
Pulse rate Pulse rate	c sh Classical			0.043		0.914					
Pulse rate Pulse rate Pulse rate Pulse rate Pulse rate Pulse rate P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P	c sh Classical	94.20	0.914		0.958		0.859				
Pulse rate Music Sound F P Weste Music rate Sound F P Weste Music Sound F P Weste Music		1	13.47	91.66	14.68	90.62	13.62	19.785	0.000*	0.462	1>2, 1>3
Respiratory rate Sound F Weste Music Sound F F P Weste P		94.54	14.00	90.95	13.78	89.50	13.04	31.722	0.000*	0.580	1>2, 1>3, 2>3
Respiratory rate P Weste Music Sound F P Weste	d isolation	94.16	13.85	91.29	12.51	90.00	12.90	41.979	0.000*	0.646	1>2, 1>3, 2>3
Respiratory Respiratory rate F P Weste Sound F P		0.005		0.016		0.044					
Respiratory rate Sound F P Weste		0.995		0.984	0.984		0.957				
Respiratory Music rate Sound F P Weste	ern Classical c	20.79	3.98	19.37	4.20	18.87	3.89	24.863	0.000*	0.519	1>2, 1>3
F P Weste	sh Classical c	19.91	3.46	18.37	3.13	18.25	2.99	14.134	0.000*	0.381	1>2, 1>3
P Weste	d isolation	20.41	3.97	19.16	3.44	18.45 3.42		14.511	0.000*	0.387	1>2, 1>3
Weste		0.317		0.508	0.508						
		0.729		0.604		0.817					
	ern Classical c	98.45	1.64	98.58	1.38	98.70	1.39	1.302	0.282	0.054	-
Oxygen Music	sh Classical c	98.41	1.34	98.70	1.33	98.91	1.17	3.671	0.033*	0.138	3>1
saturation Sound	d isolation	98.54	1.44	98.66	1.49	98.66	1.30	0.418	0.661	0.018	-
F		0.044		0.049		0.256					
Р		0.957		0.952		0.775]			
Weste	ern Classical c	9.10	2.35	8.72	2.25	8.40	2.16	7.903	0.006*	0.256	1>2, 1>3
Expiratory Music	sh Classical c	8.75	2.36	8.61	2.23	8.45	2.21	3.642	0.056	0.137	-
minutevolume	d isolation	8.95	2.42	8.82	2.16	8.59	1.91	4.549	0.026*	0.165	2>3
F		0.129		0.055		0.053			I		1
P		0.880		0.947		0.949					

		Baseline (1)			30 th Minute during the intervention (2)		ute after the ntion (3)	F	p	Effect	Bonferroni
		x	SD	x	SD	x	SD			size	
CPOT score	Western Classical Music	3.33	0.63	3.08	0.58	2.87	0.74	9.471	0.002*	0.292	1>2, 1>3
	Turkish Classical Music	3.29	0.55	2.87	0.53	2.70	0.55	19.993	0.000*	0.464	1>2, 1>3
	Sound isolation	3.37	0.82	3.04	1.12	2.83	0.81	11.635	0.000*	0.336	1>2, 1>3
	F	0.090		0.464		0.357					
	р	0.914		0.631		0.701]			

		Baseline (1)		30 th minute during the intervention (2)		60 th minute after the intervention (3)		F	P	Effect size	Bonferroni
		x	SD	x	SD	x	SD				
	Western Classical Music	2.13	0.81	2.08	0.79	2.08	0.79	1.000	0.376	0.043	-
	Turkish Classical Music	2.16	0.81	2.12	0.79	2.12	0.79	1.000	0.376	0.042	-
Consciousness	Sound isolation	2.16	0.81	2.12	0.79	2.12	0.79	1.000	0.376	0.042	-
	F	0.015		0.000		0.000					
	р	0.985		1.000		1.000					
	Western Classical Music	4.62	1.37	4.20	1.21	4.04	1.08	10.310	0.001*	0.310	1>2, 1>3
	Turkish Classical Music	4.50	1.25	4.25	1.18	3.91	1.21	11.986	0.000*	0.343	1>3, 2>3
Agitation	Sound isolation	4.41	1.47	4.25	1.45	4.04	1.26	5.590	0.017*	0.196	1>3
	F	0.141		0.008		0.088					
	р	0.869		0.992		0.916					
	Western Classical Music	2.08	0.58	2.08	0.58	1.95	0.46	3.286	0.046*	0.125	1>2, 1>3
	Turkish Classical Music	2.04	0.55	1.87	0.61	1.75	0.53	6.496	0.003*	0.220	1>3
Anxiety	Sound isolation	2.20	0.65	2.04	0.69	1.91	0.58	6.496	0.003*	0.220	1>3
	F	0.503		0.734		1.043					
	р	0.607		0.484		0.358					
Sleep	Western Classical Music	4.58	1.76	4.58	1.76	4.54	1.81	1.000	0.376	0.042	-
	Turkish Classical Music	4.54	1.81	4.54	1.81	4.54	1.81	-	-	-	-
	Sound isolation	4.54	1.81	4.54	1.81	4.54	1.81	-	-	-	-
	F	0.004		0.026		0.000			·		
	р	0.996		0.974		1.000					
Patient- ventilator	Western Classical Music	1.79	0.83	1.70	0.69	1.70	0.68	2.091	0.135	0.083	-
	Turkish Classical Music	1.79	0.77	1.70	0.69	1.70	0.69	2.091	0.135	0.083	-
	Sound isolation	1.75	0.79	1.75	0.79	1.75	0.79	-	-	-	-
synchrony	F	0.022		0.033	÷	0.026					·
	p	0.979		0.967			0.974				

88

As a result of the comparison of AACNSAS agitation subdimension scores of the patients participating in the study according to the intervention types and time, there was a statistically significant difference in all three intervention types (F_{Western Classical Music} =10.310 p=0.001*, F_{Turkish Classical Music} =11.986 p=0.000*, F_{Sound Isolation} =5.590 p=0.017*) (p<0.05) but no statistically significant difference was found between the intervention types (F_{0th min} =0.141 p=0.869, F_{30th min} =0.008 p=0.992, F_{60th min} =0.088 p=0.916) (p>0.05) (Table 4).

As a result of the comparison of AACNSAS anxiety subdimension scores of the patients participating in the study according to the intervention types and time, there was a statistically significant difference in all three intervention types ($F_{Western \ Classical \ Music} = 3.286 \ p = 0.046^*$, $F_{Turkish \ Classical \ Music} = 6.496 \ p = 0.003^*$) (p<0.05) but no statistically significant difference was found between the intervention types ($F_{Oth \ min} = 0.503 \ p = 0.607$, $F_{30th \ min} = 0.734 \ p = 0.484$, $F_{60th \ min} = 1.043 \ p = 0.358$) (p>0.05) (Table 4).

As a result of the comparison of AACNSAS sleep subdimension scores of the patients participating in the study according to the intervention types and time, there was no statistically significant difference in all three intervention types (F=1.000 p=0.376) (p>0.05) and also no statistically significant difference was found between the intervention types (F_{0th min} =0.004 p=0.996, F_{30th min} =0.026 p=0.974, F_{60th min} =0.000 p=1.000) (p>0.05) (Table 4).

As a result of the comparison of AACNSAS patientventilator subdimension scores of the patients participating in the study according to the intervention types and time, there was no statistically significant difference in all three intervention types (F=2.091 p=0.135) (p>0.05) and also no statistically significant difference was found between the intervention types ($F_{0th min} = 0.022 \ p=0.979$, $F_{30th min} = 0.033 \ p=0.967$, $F_{60th min} = 0.026 \ p=0.974$) (p>0.05) (Table 4).

Discussion

This research reveals the effect of music therapy and sound isolation in improving the comfort of mechanically ventilated patients.

Music therapy has been used as a therapeutic intervention since the middle of the 20th century and its clinical use has gradually increased in recent years. Noises at different levels arising from the nature of the intensive care environment reveal the necessity of sound isolation to improve the comfort area of patients. In this context, in

our study, music therapy and sound isolation were used as a nursing intervention to ensure relaxation, reduce anxiety, facilitate relaxion, reduce the need for sedation therapy, and improve comfort in patients.

In our study, it was seen that music therapy administered with both Turkish and Western Classical Music reduced the systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure, pulse rate, and respiratory rate values of the patients. On the other hand, the oxygen saturation value increased only with the Turkish Classical Music intervention and this increase demonstrated the relaxation of patients and stabilization of respiratory functions. When the literature was examined, it was seen that music therapy administered to mechanically ventilated patients provides a significant decrease in systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure, pulse rate, and respiratory rate and increases the oxygen saturation value (29,36-40).

In our study, it was observed that the mean AANCSAS subdimension scores of patients who received music therapy and sound isolation tended to decrease with music therapy. In the evaluations of these subdimensions, the best state is expressed with 1 point and the worst state is expressed with 5 points. If the score obtained from each subdimension is less than 2, the patient's need for sedation decreases. Accordingly, in our study, it was seen that music therapy reduced the need for sedation in patients and positively affected the sedation levels. Yaman Aktas and Karabulut (41) determined the effect of music therapy on sedation levels of mechanically ventilated patients using the Ramsay Sedation scale and found that music therapy reduced patients' sedation scores. Again, in a similar study conducted by Dijkstra et al. (42), it was determined that music therapy reduced the sedation levels of patients. Mateu-Capell et al. (2) observed in their study that there was no statistically significant difference in sedation levels (42).

In our study, it was observed that the mean CPOT scores of the patients who received music therapy and sound isolation interventions tended to decrease with music therapy and sound isolation interventions. In the study conducted by Aktaş and Karabulut (43) using the Behavioral Pain scale and CPOT, it was found that the pain scores of the individuals were high before the music application and that both pain scores in the intervention group decreased significantly at the end of 20-minute music therapy. Tan et al. (44) conducted a study to investigate the effectiveness of music therapy on pain, anxiety, and muscle tension levels of patients receiving burn treatment during dressing changes.

Patients received music therapy on two successive days and at the end of the study, it was found that there was a significant decrease in the pain level experienced during dressing change in patients who received music therapy (44). In a randomized controlled study by Gutgsell et al. (45), the intervention group listened to music for 20 minutes and the control group received no intervention. It was stated that there was a decrease in the perceived pain severity in the intervention group patients after the intervention (45). In a randomized controlled study conducted by Liu and Petrini (39), it was found that there was a significant decrease in the pain level as a result of a 30-minute music therapy applied to patients.

Implications and Recommendations for Practice

Music therapy and sound isolation methods should be used for mechanically ventilated patients as part of the complementary and integrative care applications and be included in nursing care since these interventions reduce anxiety, stress, tension, increase relaxation and blood circulation, provide hemostasis, improve the quality of life, increase the comfort level, and also have no side effect. These methods should be included in curricula of nursing education and in-service training of working nurses. Further studies should be conducted to demonstrate the effectiveness of sound isolation in a larger sample group and contribute to the literature.

Conclusion

In conclusion, music therapy and sound isolation interventions administered to mechanically ventilated patients positively affected the hemodynamic parameters of the patients and reduced the severity of pain perceived by the patients and the need for sedation. In this context, the most important finding of the study was that an intervention that eliminates the noise in the intensive care environment for mechanically ventilated patients increases the comfort level of the patients.

Ethics

Ethics Committee Approval: The ethics approval of the study was approved by the Clinical Research Ethics Committee of Manisa Celal Bayar University Faculty of Medicine (decision no: 49, date: 07.10.2019).

Informed Consent: After the first interview held with the researchers, the patients' relatives were informed and their verbal and written consent was taken.

Authorship Contributions

Surgical and Medical Practices: S.Ç., Concept: S.Ç., E.A., M.U., Design: S.Ç., E.A., M.U., Data Collection and Process: S.Ç., Analysis or Interpretation: S.Ç., E.A., M.U., Literature Search: S.Ç., E.A., M.U., Writing: S.Ç.

Conflict of Interest: No conflict of interest was declared by the authors.

Financial Disclosure: The authors declared that this study received no financial support.

References

- Olsen BF, Rustøen T, Valeberg BT. Nurse's Evaluation of a Pain Management Algorithm in Intensive Care Units. Pain Manag Nurs 2020;21:543-8.
- Mateu-Capell M, Arnau A, Juvinyà D, Montesinos J, Fernandez R. Sound isolation and music on the comfort of mechanically ventilated critical patients. Nurs Crit Care 2019;24:290-8.
- Saadatmand V, Rejeh N, Heravi-Karimooi M, Tadrisi SD, Zayeri F, Vaismoradi M, et alEffect of nature-based sounds' intervention on agitation, anxiety, and stress in patients under mechanical ventilator support: a randomised controlled trial. Int J Nurs Stud 2013;50:895-904.
- Kim T, Kim JS, Choi EY, Chang Y, Choi W II, Hwang JJ, et al. Utilization of pain and sedation therapy on noninvasive mechanical ventilation in Korean intensive care units: A multi-center prospective observational study. Acute Crit Care 2020;35:255-62.
- Uyar M, Korhan EA. Yoğun bakim hastalarında müzik terapinin ağri ve anksiyete üzerine etkisi. Agri 2011;23:139-46.
- Efstathiou N, Vanderspank-Wright B, Vandyk A, Al-Janabi M, Daham Z, Sarti A, et al. Terminal withdrawal of mechanical ventilation in adult intensive care units: A systematic review and narrative synthesis of perceptions, experiences and practices. Palliat Med 2020;34:1140-64.
- Stein-Parbury J, McKinley S. Patients' experiences of being in an intensive care unit: a select literature review. Am J Crit Care 2000;9:20-7.
- Adamson H, Murgo M, Boyle M, Kerr S, Crawford M, Elliott D. Memories of intensive care and experiences of survivors of a critical illness: an interview study. Intensive Crit Care Nurs 2004;20:257-63.
- Hofhuis JGM, Spronk PE, van Stel HF, Schrijvers AJP, Rommes JH, Bakker J. Experiences of critically ill patients in the ICU. Intensive Crit Care Nurs 2008;24:300-13.
- Kolcaba K, Dimarco MA. Comfort Theory and its application to pediatric nursing "The Relationship between Comfort and Adherence in Hemodialysis Patients" View project. Pediatr Nurs 2005:187-94.

- Kolcaba K, Tilton C, Drouin C. Comfort theory: A unifying framework to enhance the practice environment. J Nurs Adm 2006;36:538-44.
- Juang DF, Lee CH, Yang T, Chang MC. Noise pollution and its effects on medical care workers and patients in hospitals. Int J Environ Sci Technol 2010;7:705-16.
- Pugh RJ, Jones C, Griffiths RD. The impact of noise in the intensive care unit. In: Intensive Care Medicine: Annual Update 2007. Springer New York 2007:942-9.
- Topf M. Hospital noise pollution: an environmental stress model to guide research and clinical interventions. J Adv Nurs 2000;31:520-8.
- Xue CCL, Zhang AL, Lin V, Da Costa C, Story DF. Complementary and alternative medicine use in Australia: A national population-based survey. J Altern Complement Med 2007;13:643-50.
- Bodeker G, Kronenberg F A public health agenda for traditional, complementary, and alternative medicine. Am J Public Health 2002;92:1582-91.
- Yom YH, Lee KE. A comparison of the knowledge of, experience with and attitudes towards complementary and alternative medicine between nurses and patients in Korea. J Clin Nurs 2008;17:2565-72.
- Quinn F, Hughes CM, Baxter GD. Reflexology in the management of low back pain: A pilot randomised controlled trial. Complement Ther Med 2008;16:3-8.
- Morey JH. Integrative Reflexology: A Therapy Within a Naturopathic Nursing Practice. Explor J Sci Heal 2005;1:400-1.
- National Center for Complementary and Integrative Health. Available from: URL: https://www.nccih.nih.gov/. Accessed: 2021, Feb 18.
- 21. World Federation of Music Therapy (WFMT). Available from: URL: https:// wfmt.info/. Accessed: 2021, Feb 18.
- Almerud S, Petersson K. Music therapy - A complementary treatment for mechanically ventilated intensive care patients. Intensive Crit Care Nurs 2003;19:21-30.
- Jacq G, Melot K, Bezou M, Foucault L, Courau-Courtois J, Cavelot S, et al. Music for pain relief during bed bathing of mechanically ventilated patients: A pilot study. PLoS One 2018;14;13:e0207174.
- 24. Chen YY. Single-session improvisational group music therapy in adult inpatient psychiatry: a pilot study of the therapist's

experience. Nordic Journal of Music Therapy 2019:151-68.

- Nussberger R. Short-term music therapy with in-patient high-risk pregnant women and their unborn child in the obstetric unit. Nord J Music Ther 2016;25:142.
- Giordano F, Zanchi B, De Leonardis F, Rutigliano C, Esposito F, Brienza N, et al. The influence of music therapy on preoperative anxiety in pediatric oncology patients undergoing invasive procedures. Arts Psychother 2020:68.
- Reimnitz L, Silverman MJ. A randomized pilot study of music therapy in the form of patient-preferred live music on fatigue, energy and pain in hospitalized adult oncology patients on a blood and marrow transplant unit. Arts Heal 2020;12:154-68.
- Alcântara-Silva TR, de Freitas-Junior R, Freitas NMA, de Paula Junior W, da Silva DJ, Machado GDP, et al. Music Therapy Reduces Radiotherapy-Induced Fatigue in Patients With Breast or Gynecological Cancer: A Randomized Trial. Integr Cancer Ther 2018;17:628-35.
- Wong HLC, Lopez-Nahas V, Molassiotis A. Effects of music therapy on anxiety in ventilator-dependent patients. Hear Lung J Acute Crit Care 2001;30:376-87.
- Clark M, Isaacks-Downton G, Wells N, Redlin-Frazier S, Eck C, Hepworth JT, et al. Use of preferred music to reduce emotional distress and symptom activity during radiation therapy. J Music Ther 2006;43:247-65.
- Hamel MB, Phillips RS, Davis RB, Teno J, Connors AF, Desbiens N, et al. Outcomes and cost-effectiveness of ventilator support and aggressive care for patients with acute respiratory failure due to pneumonia or acute respiratory distress syndrome. Am J Med 2000;109:614-20.
- Chlan L. Effectiveness of a music therapy intervention on relaxation and anxiety for patients receiving ventilatory assistance. Hear Lung J Acute Crit Care 1998;27:169– 76.
- McCaffrey RG, Good M. The Lived Experience of Listening to Music While Recovering from Surgery. J Holist Nurs 2000;18:378-90.
- Marfil AH, Castro MM, Moline LG, Jaime SC, Guardado JL. 61 The Use of Music Therapy to Control Anxiety and Increase the Comfort of the Patient During the Treatment of Chemotherapy. Eur J Oncol Nurs 2012;16:S22-3.

- Lu Y, Liu M, Shi S, Jiang H, Yang L, Liu X, et al. Effects of stress in early life on immune functions in rats with asthma and the effects of music therapy. J Asthma 2010;47:526-31.
- Korhan EA, Khorshid L, Uyar M. The effect of music therapy on physiological signs of anxiety in patients receiving mechanical ventilatory support. J Clin Nurs 2011;20:1026-34.
- Loomba RS, Shah PH, Chandrasekar S, Arora R, Molnar J. Effects of music on systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure, and heart rate: A meta-analysis. Indian Heart J 2012;64:309-13.
- Han L, Li JP, Sit JWH, Chung L, Jiao ZY, Ma WG. Effects of music intervention on physiological stress response and anxiety level of mechanically ventilated patients in China: A randomised controlled trial. J Clin Nurs 2010;19:978-87.
- Liu Y, Petrini MA. Effects of music therapy on pain, anxiety, and vital signs in patients after thoracic surgery. Complement Ther Med 2015;23:714-8.
- Lee CH, Liu JT, Lin SC, Hsu TY, Lin CY, Lin LY. Effects of Educational Intervention on State Anxiety and Pain in People Undergoing Spinal Surgery: A Randomized Controlled Trial. Pain Manag Nurs 2018;19:163-71.
- Yaman Aktaş Y, Karabulut N. The effects of music therapy in endotracheal suctioning of mechanically ventilated patients. Nurs Crit Care 2016;21:44-52.
- Dijkstra BM, Gamel C, van der Bijl JJ, Bots ML, Kesecioglu J. The effects of music on physiological responses and sedation scores in sedated, mechanically ventilated patients. J Clin Nurs 2010;19:1030-9.
- Aktaş YY, Karabulut N. Relief of Procedural Pain in Critically III Patients by Music Therapy: A Randomized Controlled Trial. Complement Med Res 2019;26:156-64.
- 44. Tan X, Yowler CJ, Super DM, Fratianne RB. The efficacy of music therapy protocols for decreasing pain, anxiety, and muscle tension levels during burn dressing changes: A prospective randomized crossover trial. J Burn Care Res 2010;31:590-7.
- Gutgsell KJ, Schluchter M, Margevicius S, Degolia PA, McLaughlin B, Harris M, et al. Music therapy reduces pain in palliative care patients: A randomized controlled trial. J Pain Symptom Manage 2013;45:822-31.