Özhan Özcan,
Ölkin Çankayalı,
Ajda Turhan,
Mehmet Sezai Taşbakan,
Hüseyin Aytaç Erdem,
Pervin Korkmaz,
Kubilay Demirağ,
Mehmet Uyar,
Tansu Yamazhan,
Yeşim Aydınok

Received/Geliş Tarihi : 09.07.2023 Accepted/Kabul Tarihi : 29.11.2023

Özhan Özcan, İlkin Çankayalı, Kubilay Demirağ, Mehmet Uyar

Ege University Faculty of Medicine, Department of Anesthesiology and Reanimation, Division of Critical Care, Izmir, Turkey

Ajda Turhan

Ege University Faculty of Medicine, Blood Bank, İzmir, Turkey

Mehmet Sezai Taşbakan, Pervin Korkmaz Ege University Faculty of Medicine, Department of Chest Diseases, İzmir, Turkey

Hüseyin Aytaç Erdem, Tansu Yamazhan Ege University Faculty of Medicine, Department of Infectious Diseases and Clinical Microbiology, İzmir, Turkey

Yeşim Aydınok

Ege University Faculty of Medicine, Department of Pediatric Hematology and Oncology, İzmir, Turkey

Özhan Özcan MD, (⊠),

Ege University Faculty of Medicine, Department of Anesthesiology and Reanimation, Division of Critical Care, Izmir, Turkey

E-mail : ozhanturkey@hotmail.com Phone : +90 505 549 12 45 ORCID ID : orcid.org/0000-0001-9928-2383

Evaluation of the Effectiveness of Convalescent Plasma Therapy in Severe and Critical COVID-19 Patients

Şiddetli ve Kritik COVİD-19 Hastalarında Konvelesan Plazma Tedavisinin Etkinliğinin Değerlendirilmesi

ABSTRACT *Objective:* Relevant studies have suggested that the administration of convalescent plasma (CP) collected from COVID-19 patients who have recovered from the infection and whose plasma contains antibodies against SARS-CoV-2 is safe and may be effective in treating COVID-19 patients. The present study aimed to investigate whether the number of CP doses administered, the power of the IgG ratio and the time of CP administration following positive SARS-CoV-2 PCR had an impact on the 30-day in-hospital mortality.

Materials and Methods: This single-center retrospective study was conducted with patients who were hospitalized and met the severe/critical COVID-19 disease criteria and received CP. Demographics, comorbidities, co-medications, onset of symptoms, duration between SARS-CoV-2 PCR testing and hospitalization, the time of the first CP administration, laboratory results, respiratory support needs, O2 saturation, fever at the baseline, APACHE II scores and SOFA scores were recorded.

Results: Of the 224 patients with the mean age of 64.2 ± 14.5 (19-91) years, 143 were male. The most common comorbidities were hypertension and congestive heart failure. Chronic renal failure, mechanical ventilation needs, PO2/FiO2 <300, clinically rapid progression, persistent fever, SOFA score increase and increased vasopressor need were associated with increased mortality. There was a statistically significant difference between the deceased (14.0±8.2) and survivor (8.74±5.28) groups in terms of APACHE II scores (p<0.001). The number of CP units administered, the power of the IgG ratio in the CP units and the timing of CP administration had no effect on the need for respiratory support and mortality rate. CP-associated complications were observed in 11 (0.5%) patients.

Conclusion: In conclusion, CP therapy was not associated with improved survival or other positive clinical outcomes in severe/critical COVID-19 patients.

Keywords: severe/critical COVID-19, intensive care ünit, convalescent plasma, the power of the IgG ratio, SOFA score, The APACHE II score, macrophage activation syndrome

ÖZ Amaç: İlgili çalışmalarda, iyileşen ve plazmaları SARS-CoV-2'ye karşı antikorlar içeren COVID-19 hastalarından toplanan konvelesan plazma (KP) uygulanmasının güvenli olduğunu ve COVID-19 hastalarının tedavisinde etkili olabileceğini öne sürülmekte. Bu çalışma, pozitif SARS-CoV-2 PCR'yi takiben uygulanan KP dozlarının sayısının, IgG oranının gücünün ve KP uygulama süresinin 30 günlük hastane içi mortalite üzerinde bir etkisi olup olmadığını araştırmayı amaçladı.

Gereç ve Yöntem: Bu tek merkezli retrospektif çalışma, hastaneye yatırılan ve ciddi/kritik COVID-19 hastalığı kriterlerini karşılayan ve KP alan hastalarla yapılmıştır. Demografi, komorbiditeler, ek ilaçlar, semptomların başlangıcı, SARS-CoV-2 PCR testi ile hastaneye yatış arasındaki süre, ilk KP uygulamasının zamanı, laboratuvar sonuçları, solunum desteği ihtiyaçları, O2 satürasyonu, başlangıçtaki ateş, APACHE II skorları ve SOFA skorları kaydedildi.

Bulgular: Yaş ortalaması 64,2±14,5 (19-91) olan 224 hastanın 143'ü erkekti. En yaygın komorbiditeler hipertansiyon ve konjestif kalp yetmezliği idi. Kronik böbrek yetmezliği, mekanik ventilasyon ihtiyacı, PO2/ FiO2 <300, klinik olarak hızlı ilerleme, inatçı ateş, SOFA skorunda artış ve artmış vazopresör ihtiyacı mortalite artışı ile ilişkilendirildi. APACHE II puanları açısından ölen (14,0±8,2) ve yaşayan (8,74±5,28) grupları arasında istatistiksel olarak anlamlı fark vardı (p<0,001). Uygulanan KP ünitesi sayısı, KP ünitelerindeki IgG oranının gücü ve KP uygulama zamanlaması, solunum desteği ihtiyacı ve ölüm oranı üzerinde hiçbir etkiye sahip degildi. 11 (%0,5) hastada KP ile ilişkili komplikasyonlar görüldü.

Sonuç: Sonuç olarak, KP tedavisi, şiddetli/kritik COVID-19 hastalarında sağkalım veya diğer pozitif klinik sonuçlarla ilişkili değildi.

Anahtar Kelimeler: şiddetli/kritik COVID-19, yoğun bakım ünitesi, konvelesan plazma, IgG oranının gücü, SOFA skor, APACHE II skoru, makrofaj aktivasyon sendromu

Introduction

The severe acute respiratory syndrome-coronavirus-2 (SARS-CoV-2) infection is presented with a wide range of clinical spectrum from asymptomatic to severe pneumonia, multiple organ failure, and death (1-3). While 80% of reported cases are estimated to have a mild or asymptomatic course of infection, approximately 5% are admitted to the intensive care unit (ICU) with acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS), septic shock, multiple organ failure, or all three (4-6). Patients with a respiratory rate >30/min, or SpO2 in room air <90%, along with clinical signs of pneumonia, have been defined as severe COVID-19 cases, whereas those who have ARDS or respiratory failure requiring ventilation, sepsis, or septic shock as critical COVID-19 cases (7).

In the absence of other specific therapies, convalescent plasma (CP) has been used as either prevention or treatment to provide immediate passive immunity with variable success in various infectious diseases (8-10). In the early period of the COVID-19 pandemic, randomized controlled studies and case series have suggested that the administration of CP was collected from COVID-19 patients who have recovered from the infection and whose plasma contains antibodies against SARS-CoV-2 is safe and may be effective in treating COVID-19 patients (11-14). Concurrently with the studies, in August 2020, the American Food and Drug Administration (FDA) issued an Emergency Use Authorization (EUA) for CP in the treatment of hospitalized COVID-19 patients (15).

Our study aimed to evaluate the application of COVID-19 CP in severe and critically hospitalized COVID-19 patients with a lack of information in terms of hospital mortality and changes in clinical and laboratory markers in the early course of the disease.

Materials and Methods

Patients

This single-center retrospective study was commenced at Ege University Hospital (EUH) after receiving approval from the Clinical Research Ethical Committee (Ethical Committee Number 20-5T/48).

The adult patients admitted to the hospital COVID-19 ICU and services dedicated to treating COVID 19 patients who met the severe/critical disease criteria and received COVID-19 CP between April 2020 and January 2021 were included in the study.

The Study Protocol and Data Collection

The CP collection and administration were performed based on the COVID-19 Immune (Convalescent) Plasma Supply and Clinical Use Guideline of the Ministry of Health of Turkey (16).

We have obtained the clinical and specific laboratory data from the electronic file records of the patients. The demographics, comorbidities, co-medications, onset of symptoms, the time lag between SARS-CoV-2 PCR testing and hospitalization, and the time of the first CP use of the patients were recorded. The laboratory assessments associated with the severity of COVID-19, including neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio (NLR), CRP, procalcitonin, ferritin, D-dimer, and platelet values, were detected. Respiratory support that the patient need, O2 saturation, fever, and the relevant laboratory parameters were determined at the baseline, 48 and 72 hours, and five days after CP administration. APACHE Il scores were obtained during hospitalization, and SOFA scores were recorded during hospitalization, baseline, and fifth day of CP administration. IgA deficiency was excluded in all patients before CP transfusion. Adverse events following the first 24 hours of CP infusion were noted.

All patients were transfused with one unit of COVID-19 CP. The 2nd and 3rd units of CP, at least 24 hours apart, were transfused based on the physician's judgment of worsening the patients' respiratory, hemodynamic, and laboratory parameters due to COVID-19.

The patients received corticosteroids, antiviral agents, anticytokines and antiplatelet/anticoagulants by considering the current treatment protocols for COVID-19 (17-24) within the scope of the recommended basic treatments specific to the patient.

Production and Storage Conditions of COVID-19 CP:

All plasma donors had COVID-19 confirmed by SARS– CoV-2 PCR test positivity and were donated at least 14 days after complete resolution of COVID-19 symptoms and negative PCR testing, or 28 days after well-being. Donors were between 18-55 years, and all provided written informed consent at the time of plasmapheresis. All donors met the standard blood donor criteria and were documented to be negative for hepatitis B, hepatitis C, HIV, and syphilis, per standards in Turkish regulations, and a strong IgG positivity in the immunochromatographic fast test for IgM and IgG.

A total of 200-600 cc plasma was collected with the apheresis method using the Trima Accel® Automated

Özcan et al.

Blood Collection System (Terumo BCT) and divided into two or three bags of 200 ml each. CPs to be used in the first six hours were kept unfrozen, while the others were stored frozen. Those used as liquid plasma in the first six hours of the collection were subjected to gamma irradiation of 25 Gy.

Following the donation, all donor serum samples were tested with Euroimmune SARS-CoV-2 IgG ELISA (Euroimmun, Lübeck, Germany) test against the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein subunit 1 (S1). The results were expressed as a ratio of the optical density of the sample to the optical density of the internal calibrator supplied with the kit. The threshold value for positive results was \geq 1.1, and the values between 0.8 and 1.0 were considered borderline positive.

We have evaluated whether the number of CP doses administered (i.e. 1-3 units), power of the IgG ratio (i.e. low (1.1-2.0), moderate (2.1-4.0), and high (>4.1)), or the time of CP administration following positive SARS-CoV-2 PCR (i.e. very early (0-3 days), early (4-7 days) and late (> 7 days)) had an impact on the 30-day in-hospital mortality.

Statistical Analysis

The statistical analyses were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics 26 software (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY). Continuous variables with normal and non-normal distribution were summarized as mean ± standard deviation and median, respectively. Categorical variables were expressed as frequencies or percentages. Differences between living and deceased groups were analyzed using the chi-square test. Mann-Whitney U test was used for continuous independent variables and the Wilcoxon Sign Test for continuous dependent variables (in which the values were evaluated relative to the baseline value).

A one-way ANOVA test was employed for the independent evaluation of dependent variables in the CP subgroups analyses. The post hoc test (Tukey) was used to determine the difference between the CP subgroups in the patient follow-up.

All analyses were evaluated at the 95% confidence interval, and significance was assessed at the p < 0.05 level.

Results

CP donations were performed from the donors between 24 and 188 days (Median: 80 days, SD: \pm 44.5 days) after the onset of their first symptoms. A total of 417 CP doses were used in 224 patients. Out of these 417 doses, 407 (97.6%)

were found IgG positive, and strong positivity (IgG ratio > 4) was detected in 58.3% of those.

When CP treatment was commenced, 173 of 224 patients (77%) were in the ICU. The patients' demographic information and admission characteristics are given in Table 1. The mean age of the patients was 64.2 ± 14.5 (19-91) years, and 143 were male. The most common comorbidities were hypertension (HT) and congestive heart failure (CHF), whereas the presence of chronic renal failure (CRF) was found to be associated with increased mortality. MV needs, PO2/FIO2 < 300, clinically rapid progression, persistent fever, SOFA score increase of > 2, and increased vasopressor need were detected to be linked with increased mortality. The mean CP administration time after positive SARS-CoV-2 PCR was 5.89 ± 3.95 days, and after hospitalization was 4.09 ± 3.39 days. Overall the mean duration of stay in the ICU was 10.95 ± 8.5 days, and in the hospital, 17.63 ± 9.2 days. The APACHE score was 14.0 ± 8.2 in the deceased group and 8.74 ± 5.28 in the survivor group, and the difference was statistically significant (p<0.001). SOFA score was statistically higher on the day of hospitalization, the first and the 5th day of CP administration in the deceased group (p<0.001). (Table 1)

The macrophage activation syndrome (MAS)-like inflammation indicators, including baseline CRP, procalcitonin, D-dimer, ferritin, and NLR values, were significantly higher, while the platelet count was lower in the deceased group than in the survivor group. Comparing the baseline values, a significant increase in the D-dimer and NLR values in the deceased group and the platelet count in the survivor group were observed during the sequential follow-up (Table 2). Although there was no significant difference between the baseline levels of the inflammation indicators between the groups that received low, moderate, and high IgG ratios in CPs, except the platelet value change in high IgG ratios and also no consistent changes were observed on those parameters during follow-up between the groups. It was statistically significant that the platelet value increased compared to the basal value in the sequential follow-up in the group with a high Euroimmun IgG ratio (Table 3).

The number of CP units, the power of the IgG ratio in the CP units, and the timing of CP administration did not impact the need for respiratory support and mortality rate (Table 4). SOFA score has not significantly differed between the groups receiving different power of IgG ratio (Table 5)

		Survivor group (n=123)	Deceased group (n=101)	Total (n=224)	p-value
Age (mean ± SD) (years)		60.85±14.796	68.31±13.029	64.21±14.5	<0.001
	Female	48 (59.3)	33 (40.7)	81 (36.2)	0.005
Gender	Male	75 (52.4)	68 (47.6)	143 (63.8)	0.325
	Hypertension/congestive heart failure	58 (50)	58 (50)	116	0.126
	Diabetes mellitus	39 (52)	36 (48)	75	0.535
	Coronary artery disease	11 (39.3)	17 (60.7)	28	0.076
Comorbidity (%)	Chronic renal failure	10 (32.3)	21 (67.7)	31	0.006
	Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease	9 (52.9)	8 (47.1)	17	0.865
	Malignancy	7 (41.2)	10 (58.8)	17	0.236
	Hyperlipidemia	2 (50)	2 (50)	4	1.000
	Invasive mechanical ventilator need	28 (22.8)	77 (76.2)	105	<0.001
	PaO ₂ /FiO ₂ <300	35 (28.5)	59 (58.4)	94	<0.001
	SpO ₂ sat <90	44 (35.8)	38 (37.6)	82	0.775
	Respiratory rate >30/min	38 (30.9)	43 (42.6)	81	0.070
CD is disabilities (0/)	PaO ₂ <70 mmHg	38 (30.9)	28 (27.7)	66	0.604
CP indications (%)	Rapid progression	21 (17.1)	30 (29.7)	51	0.025
	Persistent fever	32 (26.0)	15 (14.9)	47	0.041
	SOFA score increase >2	5 (4.1)	41 (40.6)	46	<0.001
	Increased CT infiltration	22 (17.9)	13 (12.9)	36	0.304
	Vasopressor need	1 (0.8)	18 (17.8)	19	<0.001
CP time (day)	After PCR positivity	6.00±3.737	5.76±4.203	5.89±3.947	0.43
(mean ± SD)	After hospitalization	3.93±3.147	4.30±3.66	4.09±3.386	0.93
APACHE-II (mean ± SD)		n=72 8.74±5.28 (1-24)	n=93 14.0±8.19 (2-39)	n=165 11.70±7.52 (1-39)	<0.001
	Hospitalization day	n=73 3.25±1.89 (0-9)	n=91 4.59±2.59 (1-14)	n=164 3.99 (0-14)	<0.001
SOFA (mean ± SD)	CP baseline	n=73 3.49±1.90 (0-9)	n=92 6.14±2.64 (1-14)	n=165 4.97 (0-14)	<0.001
	Day 5	n=73 2.86±1.96 (0-8)	n=70 6.66±2.60 (0-14)	n=143 4.76 (0-14)	<0.001
Respiratory support	MV/NIV/HFNC ()	37 (32.4)	77 (67.5)	114	<0.001
(at the first CP) (%)	Mask and nasal O ₂ /room air ()	86 (78.1)	24 (21.8)	110	<0.001
Stay duration	Intensive care unit (mean)	8.27±8.7	14.17±7.02	10.95±8.5	<0.001
(day) (mean ± SD)	Hospital (mean)	17.93±9.06	17.26±8.82	17.63±9.2	0.686

CP-associated adverse events were observed in 11 (0.5%) patients; the most common complication was fever in eight patients. In addition, there were two patients with transfusion-related acute lung injury (TRALI) and one patient with transfusion-associated circulatory overload (TACO); no mortality caused by complications was determined (Table 6).

Discussion

CP serum and immunoglobulin is a passive immunization method that has been used for about 100 years preventing and treating of outbreaks in which no vaccine or pharmacological intervention is available. The first CP administration was reported in the pandemic period of Spanish influenza A (H1N1) pneumonia (1918–1920); the meta-analysis of studies conducted during this pandemic revealed that CP reduces mortality (25). In the ensuing years, CP has been used for Middle East respiratory syndrome, severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) caused by SARS–coronavirus 1 (SARS-CoV-1), and Ebola (26, 27).

However, in many large-scale randomized controlled clinical trials, results indicate that CP treatment does not contribute to disease progression or reduction in mortality in COVID-19 patients (28-32). Further, in May 2021, it was reported in the Cochrane Review that there is a high degree of certainty in the evidence that CP for the treatment of individuals with moderate to severe COVID-19 does not reduce mortality and has little or no effect on measurements

		Survivor group (n=123) (mean ± SD)	Deceased group (n=101) (mean ± SD)	p*-value
	Baseline	88.2±67.7	119.7±95.1	0.015
CRP	48 h	58.1±52.5	95.1±79.4	
(0-5 mg/L)	72 h	45.6±52.4	98.7±72.2	
	D5	28.5±34.1	78.6±50.4	
	Baseline	1.47±2.0	2.41±3.9	<0.001
Procalcitonin	48 h	0.52±0.54	1.47±2.1	
(<0.05 µg/L)	72 h	0.60±0.64 p ^Y =0.043	1.40±1.6	
	D5	0.28±0.26	1.54±2.5	
	Baseline	1504.8±1312.6	2592.0±1613.5	p<0.001
D-dimer	48 h	2016.7±1557.7 p ^x =0.011	2971.6±1567.2 p ^r =0.001	
(<550 µg/L FEU)	72 h	1818.2±1551.3	3313.6±1433.6 p ^Y =0.002	
	D5	1824.8±1480.4	3547.0±1432.0 p ^Y =0.001	
	Baseline	913.8±1143.3	2119.6±6052.3	p=0.004
Ferritin	48 h	941.2±1138.5	1409.1±1526.5	
(30-400 µg/L)	72 h	969.2±1048.4	1463.5±3182.5	
	D5	753.7±761.3	2645.0±8799.2	
	Baseline	10.6±14.1	18.1±13.4	p < 0.001
NUD	48 h	10.3±11.1	19.6±14.1 p ^Y =0.019	
NLR	72 h	9.2±6.3	22.9±23.4 p ^x =0.006	
	D5	8.55±6.0 p ^Y =0.030	25.2±24.2 p ^x <0.001	
	Baseline	274.2±104.7	252.2±126.5	p=0.041
Platelet count	48 h	298.2±108.7 p ^Y <0.001	237.7±130.4	
(150-450 10³/µL)	72 h	323.2±111.6 p ^Y <0.001	243.6±129.1	
	D5	342.5±112.8 p ^Y <0.001	242.9±140.3	

of clinical improvement (33). On the other hand, Joyner et al. reported in a retrospective analysis of 3,082 COVID-19 patients who were hospitalized and needed no mechanical ventilation that the transfusion of CP containing high anti-SARS-CoV-2 IgG antibody levels is associated with lower mortality (34). Some other studies also support the use of CP to reduce in-hospital mortality and emphasize the need for relevant studies (35, 36). In December 2021, although WHO revised the survival guide on COVID-19 treatments as "in addition to its high costs, CP does not improve survival or reduce the need for mechanical ventilation," citing evidence that CP does not provide benefit to non-severe COVID-19 patients, it recommends that randomized clinical trials should continue in severe and critically ill patients (37). In this retrospective cohort study, we evaluated the impact of CP use on survival in severe/critical COVID-19 patients.

Advanced age and male gender have been associated with mortality as the most important risk factors in terms of developing infection and progression to severe disease in COVID-19 patients (38). Other risk factors are cardiovascular disease, obesity, hypertension, diabetes mellitus (DM), chronic respiratory tract disease, chronic renal failure, cancer and weakened immune status (5, 39-41). In our study, male gender was at the forefront, and the mean age was statistically significantly higher in the deceased group. No significant difference was detected between genders

		Low IgG ratio 1.1-2.0 (mean ± SD)	Moderate IgG ratio 2.1-4.0 (mean ± SD)	High IgG ratio >4.1 (mean ± SD)	p*-value
	Baseline	91.8±73.3	103.1±84.4	105.5±85.4	0.846
	48 h	63.7±53.2	84.2±57.8	75.2±68.4	0.239
CRP (0-5 mg/L)	72 h	63.8±88.9	73.4±61.8	70.6±80.7	0.276
(0 5 mg/ 2)	D5	35.6±61.8	66.9±65.8	46.6±59.3	0.015
	PY	<0.001	0.140	<0.001	
	Baseline	1998.7±1480.2	2235.4±1636.8	1907.4±1523.1	0.499
	48 h	2398.7±1620.8	2733.2±1696.6	2323.6±1610.5	0.382
O-dimer <550 μg/L FEU)	72 h	2085.9±1644.2	2574.1±1795.4	2382.9±1643.2	0.620
350 μg/L1 L0)	D5	2055.2±1697.9	2671.9±1695.6	2498.2±1690.9	0.364
	P ^Y	0.410	0.007	0.252	
	Baseline	3271.9±10982.0	1365.1±2420.7	1120.5±1260.0	0.569
	48 h	993.6±1139.0	1405.6±2019.1	1113.9±1173.8	0.958
Ferritin (30-400 µg/L)	72 h	1887.9±4930.2	647.5±734.8	1106.3±1008.8	0.061
,50- 4 00 µg/ Ľ/	D5	699.3±675.5	813.9±828.2	1829.3±6727.1	0.182
	P ^Y	0.228	0.960	0.638	
	Baseline	16.7±15.8	15.3±13.3	13.3±13.9	0.295
	48 h	16.1±14.2	18.0±18.1	12.6±10.3	0.135
NLR	72 h	15.1±13.1	21.3±32.4	12.9±10.3	0.327
	D5	13.6±12.4	20.2±28.3	14.3±14.9	0.457
	PY	0.465	0.544	0.205	
	Baseline	281.2±113.9	276.0±131.9	256.0±110.7	0.229
	48 h	286.5±134.7	280.1±154.3	264.4±107.2	0.631
Platelet count	72 h	309.0±127.0	301.6±147.2	276.5±119.1	0.405
2ιaceιec counc (150-450 10³/μL)	D5	319.3±130.2	316.3±148.9	299.5±129.6	0.621
,	P ^Y	0.670	0.383	< 0.001	

Table 4. Age, gender, respiratory support need	; respiratory	support nee	d and 30-day	in-hospita	l mortality a	and 30-day in-hospital mortality assessment in the groups	the groups					
	CP doses a	CP doses administered (Unit)	(Unit)		Power of th	Power of the EI IgG ELISA	A		The time of	CP administ	The time of CP administration following PCR+	ng PCR+
					1.1-2.0	2.1-4.0	> 4.1		0-3 day	4-7 day	>7.0 day	
	-	2	З	p-value Low	Low	Moderate	High	p-value	Very early	Early	Late	p-value
Frequency, n	88	78	58		30	51	134		74	85	65	1
Age (mean±SD)												
(years)	63.5±15.6	63.5±15.6 64.5±12.5	64.8±15.2	0.83	62.2±18.2	62.2±18.2 66.2±11.9 63.5±14.6 0.48	63.5±14.6	0.48	65.8±13.6	64.9±15.1	65.8±13.6 64.9±15.1 61.5±14.4 0.18	0.18
Gender, F, n (%)	33 (37.5)	28 (35.9)	20 (34.5)	0.93	12 (41.4) 17 (33.3)	17 (33.3)	46 (34.3)	0.43	28 (37.8)	29 (34.1)	24 (36.9)	0.88
MV/NIV/HFNC (%)*	41 (46.6)	41 (52.6)	32 (55.2)	0.56	14 (48.3) 29 (56.9)	29 (56.9)	66 (49.3)	0.92	39 (52.7)	40 (47.1)	35 (53.8)	0.67
30-day in-hospital mortality n (%)	38 (43.2) 35 (44.9)	35 (44.9)	28 (48.3)	0.83	11 (37.9)	11 (37.9) 27 (52.9) 57 (42.5) 0.45	57 (42.5)	0.45	37 (50)	34 (40)	30 (46.2)	0.44
MV: Mechanical ventilator, NIV: Non-invasive mechanical ventilator, HFNC: High flow nasal cannula	r, NIV: Non-invasi	ve mechanical ve	antilator, HFNC: F	High flow nas	al cannula							

regarding respiratory support, whereas it was observed that the need for invasive and non-invasive respiratory support statistically increased with advanced age. The most common comorbid diseases in critical and severe COVID-19 patients were hypertension/CHF, followed by DM.

In a meta-analysis evaluating the administration time of CP, patients who received CP in the first ten days of hospitalization have been compared with those who received it between 10 and 20 days, mortality was found to be decreased in those received CP in the first ten days, however this decrease was not statistically significant (42). However, in the study by Salazar et al. mortality in patients who were given CP within 72 hours of hospital admission was lower than those who were given late (43). In our study, the mean CP administration time after the first PCR positivity was 5.89 ± 3.95 days, and no significant difference was detected between the survivor and deceased patient groups. Further, in our cohort, CP administration within 72 hours or later of PCR positivity had no impact on mortality and the need for respiratory support.

The efficacy of passive antibody therapy was associated with the concentration of neutralizing antibodies in the plasma of recovered donors. The target titer recommendation of the European Commission for the neutralization test in COVID-19 convalescent plasma is 1:320 and above. Although the ability to demonstrate the neutralization performance of antibodies in SARS-CoV-2 CP is considered the gold standard, it isn't easy to routinely perform tests intended for this purpose because they require a laboratory with a high biosafety level

the El IgG ELI	SA of the first adn	ninistered CP			
		Low 1.1-2.0	Moderate 2.1-4.0	High >4.10	p-value
	Hospitalization day	n=19 3.68±2.0	n=39 4.41±2.78	n=98 3.98±2.36	0.645
SOFA* score (Mean ± SD)	CP baseline	n=19 4.84±2.71	n=39 5.67±3.1	n=99 4.83±2.5	0.351
	Day 5	n=16 3.75±3.06	n=27 5.41±3.21	n=91 4.79±2.88	0.223
SOFA: The serue	ntial organ failure asses	sment score			

Table 5. SOFA scores of the ICU patients in the groups created based on the power of

SOFA: The sequential organ failure assessment score

Complication	Survivor group (n=123)	Deceased group (n=101)	Total (n=224) (%)	p-value
Fever (baseline >1 °C)	1	7	8 (3.5)	
Allergic reaction	0	0	0	
TRALI	1	1	2 (0.8)	
TACO	0	1	1 (0.4)	
ADE	0	0	0	
Total	2	9	11 (4.9)	0.061

and experienced staff. Euroimmun IgG has been shown to correlate with neutralization assays (44-46). The FDA has stated that CP with a Euroimmun sample to the cutoff of \geq 3.5 can be used to treat hospitalized patients (47).

It has been determined in many studies that the efficacy of CP treatment is linked to the SARS-CoV-2 antibody titer it contains (34, 48). In a multicenter study, the administration of CP with high antibody titer before seven days has been associated with low mortality (49). A randomized controlled clinical study conducted with outpatient elderly population has indicated that CP with high antibody titer administered within 72 hours of the onset of COVID-19 symptoms improves clinical outcomes compared to placebo (50). However, the RECOVERY study involving 11,558 inpatients showed no difference in mortality risk between patients who were administered CP with high antibody titer and those who received standard CP treatment (30). We have not observed a difference in mortality and the need for respiratory support in patients who received CP with an IgG ratio above 4.0 or lower.

The optimal dose and timing of CP treatment are still unclear (51). On the other hand, even though it is observed that the dosage is not standardized in CP administration in clinical practice, administering 200-500 ml CP in one or two regimens is generally accepted approach (42). In our study, there was no significant difference between the patients administered 1, 2 and 3 units of CP (200-400-600 ml) regarding mortality and the need for respiratory support.

In their retrospective study, including 117 COVID-19 inpatients, Yang et al reported that the SOFA score can be an independent risk factor for in-hospital mortality and that it can be used to evaluate COVID-19 severity and prognosis (52). However, Raschke et al. showed that the SOFA score has a low mortality predictive accuracy in ventilator triage of COVID-19 patients, and they associated this with the fact that severe single organ dysfunction cause an only a minimal change in SOFA scores (53). In our study, the SOFA scores were significantly higher in the deceased group than in the survivor group. Nonetheless, there were no significant differences in SOFA scores at baseline and day 5 of CP administration between the groups based on the antibody ratio of CPs administered.

Hyperinflammation associated with COVID-19 are similar to symptoms of macrophage activation syndrome (MAS), whose clinical features have been previously reported. Increased serum ferritin, CRP, and D-dimer levels and decreased fibrinogen and platelet counts in COVID-19 patients indicate the development of severe MAS-like inflammation and fibrinolysis (41, 54). Inflammatory cascade, complement activation, and proinflammatory cytokines determine the course of the disease in COVID-19 patients. It has been stated that specific hematological, inflammatory biochemical laboratory parameters correlate with the severity of COVID-19 (55-57). Among inflammatory markers, CRP has been found to increase significantly in the initial stages of infection for COVID-19 patients and is considered an early marker for severe COVID-19 (58, 59). In a prospective study evaluating 267 severe COVID-19 patients who received CP, a decrease in CRP, ferritin, and IL-6 levels was determined (60). The higher and the persistent inflammation markers and lower platelet counts were also associated with a dismal prognosis in our cohort. Nevertheless, a consistent effect of CP administration in hyper inflammation markers during follow-up was not observed. No similar studies in which the relationship between the changes in the laboratory parameters evaluated in our study and power of the IgG ratio is investigated come across in the literature. For this reason, our study presents importance.

Although CP administration is generally considered a safe and well-tolerated treatment, it can also cause some adverse events. Limited information is available about specific side effects of CP treatment. However, the reported symptoms including fever, chills, allergic reactions, TRALI, and TACO, are similar to those of other types of plasma blood components (61, 62). The cause of the highest mortality risk following plasma transfusion is TRALI and TACO, possibly due to the sequelae of pulmonary complications (63). A theoretical concern regarding the use of CP in COVID-19 patients is a clinical condition that worsens after plasma transfusion due to antibody-dependent enhancement (ADE) or antibodymediated proinflammatory effects. Joyner et al. evaluated 5,000 severe and critical COVID-19 patients regarding side effects after CP, considering that respiratory problems due to COVID-19 may increase CP-associated complications. They detected less than 1% serious adverse events, 0.22% TRALI, 0.1% TACO, and 0.06% severe allergic reaction in the first 4 hours. Since the incidences of TRALI and TACO are expected to be approximately 10% in critically ill patients. they assessed CP treatment as reassuring due to their cohort's lower TRALI and TACO incidence rates (11). The incidence of TRALI and TACO found in our study is in line with the literature, and no mortality because of CP-induced complications was observed. However, the presence of many comorbidities in the patient group in our study and vascular and pulmonary involvement caused by COVID-19 made the differential diagnosis of CP-related TRALI and TACO difficult. Specific signs and symptoms of COVID-19-induced ADE are unknown, and clinical deterioration and worse outcomes following CP administration can be associated with ADE. In our study, ADE has not been suspected.

The retrospective nature of our study and the use of multiple drugs (antibiotic, antiviral, corticosteroid, anticytokines, low molecular weight heparin) in the individualized treatment of the patients are limiting factors, which make it difficult to differentiate the laboratory/clinical impact of CP in severe/critical COVID-19 patients.

Conclusion

In conclusion, under the conditions of this retrospective cohort study, CP treatment was not associated with improved survival or other positive clinical outcomes in severe/critical COVID-19 patients. There is a need for more comprehensive and prospective controlled studies that can demonstrate the efficacy of CP administration for COVID-19 patients.

Ethics

Ethics Committee Approval: Approval was obtained from the Ege University Hospital (EUH) Clinical Research Ethics Committee (Ethics Committee No 20-5T/48).

Informed Consent: Retrospective study.

Peer-review: Externally peer-reviewed.

Authorship Contributions

Surgical and Medical Practices: Ö.Ö., İ.Ç., A.T., M.S.T., H.A.E., K.D., M.U., Y.A., Concept: Ö.Ö., İ.Ç., M.S.T., K.D., M.U., Y.A., Design: Ö.Ö., İ.Ç., M.S.T., K.D., M.U., Y.A., Data Collection and Process: Ö.Ö., İ.Ç., A.T., H.A.E., Y.A., Analysis or Interpretation: Ö.Ö., İ.Ç., A.T., M.S.T., H.A.E., P.K., K.D., M.U., T.Y., Y.A., Literature Search: Ö.Ö., İ.Ç., A.T., M.S.T., K.D., M.U., Y.A., Writing: Ö.Ö., İ.Ç., A.T., M.S.T., H.A.E., P.K., K.D., M.U., T.Y., Y.A.

Conflict of Interest: No conflict of interest was declared by the authors.

Financial Disclosure: The authors declared that this study received no financial support.

References

- Zhou P, Yang XL, Wang XG, Hu B, Zhang L, Zhang W, et al. A pneumonia outbreak associated with a new coronavirus of probable bat origin. Nature. 2020 Mar;579(7798):270-273. doi: 10.1038/ s41586-020-2012-7.
- Chen N, Zhou M, Dong X, Qu J, Gong F, Han Y, et al. Epidemiological and clinical characteristics of 99 cases of 2019 novel coronavirus pneumonia in Wuhan, China: a descriptive study. Lancet. 2020 Feb 15;395(10223):507-513. doi: 10.1016/ S0140-6736(20)30211-7. Epub 2020 Jan 30. PMID: 32007143; PMCID: PMC7135076.
- World Health Organization, Coronavirus disease (COVID-19) Pandemic. https:// www. who.int/emergencies/diseases/ novel-coronavirus-2019. Accessed 11 March 2020.
- Epidemiology Working Group for NCIP Epidemic Response, Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention. [The epidemiological characteristics of an outbreak of 2019 novel coronavirus

diseases (COVID-19) in China]. Zhonghua Liu Xing Bing Xue Za Zhi. 2020 Feb 10;41(2):145-151. Chinese. doi: 10.3760/ cma.j.issn.0254-6450.2020.02.003. PMID: 32064853.

- World Health Organization (WHO). Report of the WHO-China Joint Mission on coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19); February 2020. Available from www.who. int/docs/default-source/ coronaviruse/ who-china-joint-mission-on-covid-19final-report.
- Wiersinga WJ, Rhodes A, Cheng AC, Peacock SJ, Prescott HC. Pathophysiology, Transmission, Diagnosis, and Treatment of Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19): A Review. JAMA. 2020;324(8):782–793. doi:10.1001/jama.2020.12839. PMID: 32648899.
- Alhazzani W, Evans L, Alshamsi F, Møller MH, Ostermann M, Prescott HC, et al. Surviving Sepsis Campaign Guidelines on the Management of Adults With Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) in the ICU: First Update. Crit Care Med. 2021 Mar 1;49(3):e219-e234. doi:

10.1097/CCM.000000000004899. PMID: 33555780.

- Cheng Y, Wong R, Soo YO, Wong WS, Lee CK, et al. Use of convalescent plasma therapy in SARS patients in Hong Kong. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis. 2005 Jan;24(1):44-6. doi: 10.1007/ s10096-004-1271-9. PMID: 15616839; PMCID: PMC7088355.
- Hung IF, To KK, Lee CK, Lee KL, Chan K, Yan WW, et al. Convalescent plasma treatment reduced mortality in patients with severe pandemic influenza A (H1N1) 2009 virus infection. Clin Infect Dis. 2011 Feb 15;52(4):447-56. doi: 10.1093/ cid/ciq106. Epub 2011 Jan 19. PMID: 21248066; PMCID: PMC7531589..
- Ko JH, Seok H, Cho SY, Ha YE, Baek JY, Kim SH, et al. Challenges of convalescent plasma infusion therapy in Middle East respiratory coronavirus infection: a single centre experience. Antivir Ther. 2018;23(7):617-622. doi: 10.3851/IMP3243. Epub 2018 Jun 20. PMID: 29923831.
- 11. Joyner MJ, Wright RS, Fairweather D, Senfeld JW, Burno KA, Klassen SA, et

al. Early safety indicators of COVID-19 convalescent plasma in 5,000 patients. J Clin Invest 2020;130(9):4791–7

- Li L, Zhang W, Hu Y, Tong X, Zheng S, Yang J, et al. Effect of Convalescent Plasma Therapy on Time to Clinical Improvement in Patients With Severe and Life-threatening COVID-19: A Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA. 2020 Aug 4;324(5):460-470. doi: 10.1001/ jama.2020.10044. Erratum in: JAMA. 2020 Aug 4;324(5):519. PMID: 32492084; PMCID: PMC7270883.
- Shen C, Wang Z, Zhao F, Yang Y, Li J, Yuan J, et al. Treatment of 5 Critically III Patients With COVID-19 With Convalescent Plasma. JAMA. 2020 Apr 28;323(16):1582-1589. doi: 10.1001/ jama.2020.4783. PMID: 32219428; PMCID: PMC7101507.
- Casadevall A, Pirofski LA. The convalescent sera option for contain - ing COVID-19. J Clin Invest 2020; 130: 1545-1548. doi: 10.1172/ JCI138003.
- 15. https://www.fda.gov/news-events/pressannouncements/fda-issues-emergencyuse-authorization-convalescent-plasmapotential-promising-covid-19-treatment https://www.fda.gov/media/141480/ download
- Turkish Republic Ministry of Health, General Directorate of Public Health, COVID-19 (SARS-CoV-2 Infection) Guide (Scientific com - mittee study), April 14, 2020. Available at: https://covid19bilgi. saglik. gov.tr.
- Wong CKH, Lau KTK, Au ICH, Xiong X, Chung MSH, Lau EHY, et al. Optimal Timing of Remdesivir Initiation in Hospitalized Patients With Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) Administered With Dexamethasone. Clin Infect Dis. 2022 Aug 24;75(1):e499-e508. doi: 10.1093/cid/ciab728. PMID: 34420051; PMCID: PMC8513400.
- COVID-19 Treatment Guidelines Panel. Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) Treatment Guidelines. National Institutes of Health. Available at https://www. covid19treatmentguidelines.nih.gov/. Accessed [03.03.2022]
- RECOVERY Collaborative Group. Tocilizumab in patients admitted to hospital with COVID-19 (RECOVERY): a randomised, controlled, openlabel, platform trial. Lancet. 2021;397(10285):1637-1645. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/33933206.
- Marconi VC, Ramanan AV, de Bono S, Kartman CE, Krishnan V, Liao R, et al; COV-BARRIER Study Group. Efficacy and safety of baricitinib for the treatment

of hospitalised adults with COVID-19 (COV-BARRIER): a randomised, doubleblind, parallel-group, placebo-controlled phase 3 trial. Lancet Respir Med. 2021 Dec;9(12):1407-1418. doi: 10.1016/ S2213-2600(21)00331-3. Epub 2021 Sep 1. Erratum in: Lancet Respir Med. 2021 Oct;9(10):e102. PMID: 34480861; PMCID: PMC8409066.

- Guimarães PO, Quirk D, Furtado RH, Maia LN, Saraiva JF, Antunes MO, et. al; STOP-COVID Trial Investigators. Tofacitinib in Patients Hospitalized with Covid-19 Pneumonia. N Engl J Med. 2021 Jul 29;385(5):406-415. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2101643. Epub 2021 Jun 16. PMID: 34133856; PMCID: PMC8220898.
- REMAP-CAP Investigators; Gordon AC, Mouncey PR, Al-Beidh F, Rowan KM, Nichol AD, Arabi YM, et al. Interleukin-6 Receptor Antagonists in Critically III Patients with Covid-19. N Engl J Med. 2021 Apr 22;384(16):1491-1502. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2100433. Epub 2021 Feb 25. PMID: 33631065; PMCID: PMC7953461.
- 23. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Interim clinical guidance for management of patients with confirmed coronavirus disease (COVID-19). Available from www.cdc. gov/ coronavirus/2019-ncov/hcp/clinicalguidance-managementpatients.html (accessed 22 March 2021)
- 24. World Health Organization (WHO). Clinical management of severe acute respiratory infection (SARI) when COVID19 disease is suspected: interim guidance. World Health Organization 2020;WHO/2019-nCoV/clinical/2020.4.
- Luke TC, Kilbane EM, Jackson JL, Hoffman SL. Meta-analysis: convalescent blood products for Spanish influenza pneumonia: a future H1N1 treatment? Ann Intern Med 2006;145:599–609.
- Eibl MM. History of immunoglobulin replacement. Immunol Allergy Clin North Am. 2008 Nov;28(4):737-64, viii. doi: 10.1016/j.iac.2008.06.004. PMID: 18940572.
- Marson P, Cozza A, De Silvestro G. The true historical origin of convalescent plasma therapy. Transfus Apher Sci. 2020 Oct;59(5):102847. doi: 10.1016/j. transci.2020.102847. Epub 2020 Jun 12. PMID: 32565057; PMCID: PMC7289739.
- Agarwal A, Mukherjee A, Kumar G, Chatterjee P, Bhatnagar T, Mal - hotra P; PLACID Trial Collaborators. Convalescent plasma in the management of moderate covid-19 in adults in India: open label

phase II multicentre randomised controlled trial (PLACID Trial). BMJ 2020; 371: m3939. doi: 10.1136/bmj.m3939. Erratum in: BMJ 2020; 371: m4232

- Simonovich VA, Burgos Pratx LD, Scibona P, Beruto MV, Vallone MG, Vázquez C, et al.; PlasmAr Study Group. A Randomized Trial of Convalescent Plasma in Covid-19 Severe Pneumonia. N Engl J Med. 2021 Feb 18;384(7):619-629. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2031304. Epub 2020 Nov 24. PMID: 33232588; PMCID: PMC7722692..
- RECOVERY Collaborative Group. Convalescent plasma in patients admitted to hospital with COVID-19 (RECOVERY): a randomised controlled, open-label, platform trial. Lancet. 2021 May 29;397(10289):2049-2059. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(21)00897-7. Epub 2021 May 14. PMID: 34000257; PMCID: PMC8121538.
- Bégin P, Callum J, Jamula E, Cook R, Heddle NM, Tinmouth A, et. al; CONCOR-1 Study Group; Arnold DM. Convalescent plasma for hospitalized patients with COVID-19: an openlabel, randomized controlled trial. Nat Med. 2021 Nov;27(11):2012-2024. doi: 10.1038/s41591-021-01488-2. Epub 2021 Sep 9. Erratum in: Nat Med. 2022 Jan;28(1):212. PMID: 34504336; PMCID: PMC8604729..
- Writing Committee for the REMAP-CAP Investigators; Estcourt LJ, Turgeon AF, McQuilten ZK, McVerry BJ, Al-Beidh F, Annane D, et al. Effect of Convalescent Plasma on Organ Support-Free Days in Critically III Patients With COVID-19: A Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA. 2021 Nov 2;326(17):1690-1702. doi: 10.1001/ jama.2021.18178. PMID: 34606578; PMCID: PMC8491132.
- Piechotta V, Iannizzi C, Chai KL, Valk SJ, Kimber C, Dorando E, et al. Convalescent plasma or hyperimmune immunoglobulin for people with COVID-19: a living systematic review. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2021;5:CD013600.
- Joyner MJ, Carter RE, Senefeld JW, Klassen SA, Mills JR, Johnson PW, et al. Convalescent Plasma Antibody Levels and the Risk of Death from Covid-19. N Engl J Med. 2021 Mar 18;384(11):1015-1027. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2031893. Epub 2021 Jan 13. PMID: 33523609; PMCID: PMC7821984.
- O'Donnell MR, Grinsztejn B, Cummings MJ, Justman JE, Lamb MR, Eckhardt CM, et al. A randomized double-blind controlled trial of convalescent plasma in adults with severe COVID-19. J Clin Invest. 2021 Jul 1;131(13):e150646. doi:

10.1172/JCI150646. PMID: 33974559; PMCID: PMC8245169.

- Arnold Egloff SA, Junglen A, Restivo JS, Wongskhaluang M, Martin C, Doshi P, et al. Convalescent plasma associates with reduced mortality and improved clinical trajectory in patients hospitalized with COVID-19. J Clin Invest. 2021 Oct 15;131(20):e151788. doi: 10.1172/ JCI151788. PMID: 34464352; PMCID: PMC8516450..
- 7th update of WHO's living guidelines on COVID-19 therapeutics. https:// www.who.int/news/item/07-12-2021who-recommends-against-the-use-ofconvalescent-plasma-to-treat-covid-19
- Palaiodimos L, Kokkinidis DG, Li W, Karamanis D, Ognibene J, Arora S, et al. Severe obesity, increasing age and male sex are independently associated with worse in-hospital outcomes, and higher in-hospital mortality, in a cohort of patients with COVID-19 in the Bronx, New York. Metabolism. 2020 Jul;108:154262. doi: 10.1016/j.metabol.2020.154262. Epub 2020 May 16. PMID: 32422233; PMCID: PMC7228874
- Chen L, Xiong J, Bao L, Shi Y. Convalescent plasma as a potential therapy for COVID-19. Lancet Infectious Diseases 2020;20(4):398-400.
- Huang C, Wang Y, Li X, Ren L, Zhao J, Hu Y, et al. Clinical features of patients infected with 2019 novel coronavirus in Wuhan, China. Lancet 2020;395(10223):497-506. [DOI: 10.1016/ S0140-6736(20)30183-5]
- Wu C, Chen X, Cai Y, Xia J, Zhou X, Xu S, et al. Risk factors associated with acute respiratory distress syndrome and death in patients with coronavirus disease 2019 pneumonia in Wuhan, China. JAMA Internal Medicine 2020;180:934-943. [DOI: 10.1001/ jamainternmed.2020.0994.
- Wang Y, Huo P, Dai R, Lv X, Yuan S, Zhang Y, et al. Convalescent plasma may be a possible treatment for COVID-19: A systematic review. Int Immunopharmacol. 2021 Feb;91:107262. doi: 10.1016/j.intimp.2020.107262. Epub 2020 Dec 5. PMID: 33338863; PMCID: PMC7833727.
- Salazar E, Christensen PA, Graviss EA, Nguyen DT, Castillo B, Chen J, et al. Treatment of Coronavirus Disease 2019 Patients with Convalescent Plasma Reveals a Signal of Significantly Decreased Mortality. Am J Pathol. 2020 Nov;190(11):2290-2303. doi: 10.1016/j.ajpath.2020.08.001. Epub 2020 Aug 11. PMID: 32795424; PMCID: PMC7417901..

- Weidner L, Gänsdorfer S, Unterweger S, Weseslindtner L, Drexler C, Farcet M, et al. Quantification of SARS-CoV-2 antibodies with eight commercially available immunoassays. J Clin Virol. 2020 Aug;129:104540. doi: 10.1016/j. jcv.2020.104540. Epub 2020 Jul 6. PMID: 32652475; PMCID: PMC7336952..
- 45. Patel EU, Bloch EM, Clarke W, Hsieh YH, Boon D, Eby Y, et al. Comparative Performance of Five Commercially Available Serologic Assays To Detect Antibodies to SARS-CoV-2 and Identify Individuals with High Neutralizing Titers. J Clin Microbiol. 2021 Jan 21;59(2):e02257-20. doi: 10.1128/ JCM.02257-20. PMID: 33139419; PMCID: PMC8111143..
- Walker GJ, Naing Z, Ospina Stella A, Yeang M, Caguicla J, Ramachandran V, et al. SARS Coronavirus-2 Microneutralisation and Commercial Serological Assays Correlated Closely for Some but Not All Enzyme Immunoassays. Viruses. 2021 Feb 4;13(2):247. doi: 10.3390/ v13020247. PMID: 33557418; PMCID: PMC7915197.
- 47. US Department of Health and Human Services Food and Drug Administration Letter of Authorization, Reissuance of Convalescent Plasma EUA. 2021. https:// www.fda.gov/media/141477/download
- Rajendran K, Krishnasamy N, Rangarajan J, Rathinam J, Natarajan M, Ramachandran A. Convalescent plasma transfusion for the treatment of COVID-19: Systematic review. J Med Virol. 2020 Sep;92(9):1475-1483. doi: 10.1002/ jmv.25961. Epub 2020 May 12. PMID: 32356910; PMCID: PMC7267113.
- Joyner MJ, Senefeld JW, Klassen SA, Mills JR, Johnson PW, Theel ES, et al. Effect of Convalescent Plasma on Mortality among Hospitalized Patients with COVID-19: Initial Three-Month Experience. medRxiv [Preprint]. 2020 Aug 12:2020.08.12.20169359. doi: 10.1101/2020.08.12.20169359. PMID: 32817978; PMCID: PMC7430623..
- Libster R, Pérez Marc G, Wappner D, Coviello S, Bianchi A, Braem V, et al; Fundación INFANT–COVID-19 Group. Early High-Titer Plasma Therapy to Prevent Severe Covid-19 in Older Adults. N Engl J Med. 2021 Feb 18;384(7):610-618. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2033700. Epub 2021 Jan 6. PMID: 33406353; PMCID: PMC7793608.
- Mair-Jenkins J, Saavedra-Campos M, Baillie JK, Cleary P, Khaw FM, Lim WS, et al; Convalescent Plasma Study Group. The effectiveness of convalescent plasma and hyperimmune

immunoglobulin for the treatment of severe acute respiratory infections of viral etiology: a systematic review and exploratory meta-analysis. J Infect Dis. 2015 Jan 1;211(1):80-90. doi: 10.1093/ infdis/jiu396. Epub 2014 Jul 16. PMID: 25030060; PMCID: PMC4264590.

- 52. Yang Z, Hu Q, Huang F, Xiong S, Sun Y. The prognostic value of the SOFA score in patients with COVID-19: A retrospective, observational study. Medicine (Baltimore). 2021 Aug 13;100(32):e26900. doi: 10.1097/MD.00000000026900. PMID: 34397917; PMCID: PMC8360480.
- Raschke RA, Agarwal S, Rangan P, Heise CW, Curry SC. Discriminant Accuracy of the SOFA Score for Determining the Probable Mortality of Patients With COVID-19 Pneumonia Requiring Mechanical Ventilation. JAMA. 2021 Apr 13;325(14):1469-1470. doi: 10.1001/ jama.2021.1545. PMID: 33595630; PMCID: PMC7890534..
- Otsuka R, Seino KI. Macrophage activation syndrome and COVID-19. Inflamm Regen. 2020 Aug 6;40:19. doi: 10.1186/s41232-020-00131-w. PMID: 32834892; PMCID: PMC7406680.
- Lin L, Lu L, Cao W, Li T. Hypothesis for potential pathogenesis of SARS-CoV-2 infection-a review of immune changes in patients with viral pneumonia. Emerg Microbes Infect. 2020 Dec;9(1):727-732. doi: 10.1080/22221751.2020.1746199. PMID: 32196410; PMCID: PMC7170333.
- Liu J, Li S, Liu J, Liang B, Wang X, Wang H, et al. Longitudinal characteristics of lymphocyte responses and cytokine profiles in the peripheral blood of SARS-CoV-2 infected patients. EBioMedicine. 2020 May;55:102763. doi: 10.1016/j. ebiom.2020.102763. Epub 2020 Apr 18. PMID: 32361250; PMCID: PMC7165294.
- Lippi G, Plebani M, Henry BM. Thrombocytopenia is associated with severe coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) infections: A meta-analysis. Clin Chim Acta. 2020 Jul;506:145-148. doi: 10.1016/j.cca.2020.03.022. Epub 2020 Mar 13. PMID: 32178975; PMCID: PMC7102663.
- Tan C, Huang Y, Shi F, Tan K, Ma Q, Chen Y, et al. C-reactive protein correlates with computed tomographic findings and predicts severe COVID-19 early. J Med Virol. 2020 Jul;92(7):856-862. doi: 10.1002/jmv.25871. Epub 2020 Apr 25. PMID: 32281668; PMCID: PMC7262341..
- 59. Henry BM, de Oliveira MHS, Benoit S, Plebani M, Lippi G. Hematologic,

biochemical and immune biomarker abnormalities associated with severe illness and mortality in coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19): a metaanalysis. Clin Chem Lab Med. 2020 Jun 25;58(7):1021-1028. doi: 10.1515/cclm-2020-0369. PMID: 32286245.

 Fodor E, Müller V, Iványi Z, Berki T, Kuten Pella O, et al. Early Transfusion of Convalescent Plasma Improves the Clinical Outcome in Severe SARS-CoV2 Infection. Infect Dis Ther. 2022 Feb;11(1):293-304. doi: 10.1007/ s40121-021-00514-7. Epub 2021 Nov 24. Erratum in: Infect Dis Ther. 2022 Aug;11(4):1767-1768. PMID: 34817840; PMCID: PMC8611245..

- Chun S, Chung CR, Ha YE, Han TH, Ki CS, Kang ES, et al. Possible Transfusion-Related Acute Lung Injury Following Convalescent Plasma Transfusion in a Patient With Middle East Respiratory Syndrome. Ann Lab Med. 2016 Jul;36(4):393-5. doi: 10.3343/ alm.2016.36.4.393. PMID: 27139619; PMCID: PMC4855066.
- Luke TC, Kilbane EM, Jackson JL, Hoffman SL. Meta-analysis: convalescent blood products for Spanish influenza pneumonia: a future H5N1

treatment? Ann Intern Med. 2006 Oct 17;145(8):599-609. doi: 10.7326/0003-4819-145-8-200610170-00139. Epub 2006 Aug 29. PMID: 16940336.

 Driggin E, Madhavan MV, Bikdeli B, Chuich T, Laracy J, Biondi-Zoccai G, et al. Cardiovascular Considerations for Patients, Health Care Workers, and Health Systems During the COVID-19 Pandemic. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2020 May 12;75(18):2352-2371. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2020.03.031. Epub 2020 Mar 19. PMID: 32201335; PMCID: PMC7198856.